and presumably throughout its entire history. After all, it was founded as a charitable institution. And it has tried to conduct it-self as one, its board of directors having been guided by the statement in its original charter below:

"Be it known to all whom it may concern, that we, * * * citizens of the United States, and of the District of Columbia, have associated ourselves together pursuant to the provisions of the 3d section of the act of Congress, approved May 5, 1870, entitled "An act to provide for the creation of corporations in the District of Columbia by general law," for the purpose of establishing a charitable institution in said District, to be used as a hospital and dispensary for the treatment of the medical and surgical diseases of children, where all such may be treated gratuitously: Provided, however, That the board of directors may provide for the admission of sick children whose parents or guardians may be willing and able to defray the expenses thereof."

To implement this policy, and to fulfill its obligation to the community as set forth in its charter, it has sought and obtained from generous friends and supporters sub-stantial funds. Also it has received specific endowments and unrestricted bequests, made

to it because it was a charitable institution.

In the period covered by the statistics above, for instance, it sought and obtained annual donations through its annual sustaining fund appeal and its annual Christmas card annual as follows: mas card appeal as follows:

TABLE IV .- Gifts and donations from sustaining fund and Christmas card appeal

Year ending—	Card appeal	Sustaining fund	\$147, 609 131, 297 178, 012 144, 574 61, 828
June 30, 1963 June 30, 1962 June 30, 1961 June 30, 1960 June 30, 1959	\$31, 163 27, 698 75, 806 53, 556 61, 828	\$116, 446 103, 599 102, 206 91, 018	

And it received in this same period unrestricted bequests totaling \$837,642, more than half of which was required for day-to-day operations.

To meet its deficits it has, of course, spent the revenues from these appeals. It also has spent to finance its day-in-day-out operations not only the income from an endowment fund of \$1,243,479, but also all of the income from and \$445,000 of the capital of funds that have come to it in the form of unrestricted bequests.

To sum up, it has been ready, willing, and able to spend nonoperating income and capital in the period under review as follows:

TABLE V .- Expenditure of nonoperating income and capital for recent 5 years

Year	ending	June	30,	1963	8440, 821
Year	ending	June	30,	1962	389, 080
				1961	407, 282
Year	ending	June	30,	1960	367, 549
Year	ending	June	30,	1959	243, 075

But the hard fact of the matter is that taking nonoperating income and capital from all available sources into account, it now finds itself with only \$143,000 to meet deficits that are averaging \$40,000 each month.

STOPGAP APPROPRIATION REQUIRED

To find some way to avoid the inevitable financial collapse of the hospital, the officers of the board of directors have taken their case to the Commissioners of the District of Columbia who have agreed to ask Congress for a supplemental appropriation of \$110,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964. The amount of this request is based on reimbursement for units of service which the hospital provided solely for patients ruled eligible for tax support at a rate squared at granted it will provide only temporary relief.

and research program. A significant cutganizations. The next step in any effort to
support for the teaching and
hospital's audited cost of care. If this is
granted it will provide only temporary relief.

and research program. A significant cutganizations. The next step in any effort to
children's Hospital charitable work would
have tradispectrum of available health care services.

level of giving by those who have tradi-

The hospital is informed that the Government of the District of Columbia is precluded from making exception to the prevailing strict rules of eligibility for health care. Presumably only Congress itself can order relief from losses in this category by specifically exempting the medical care of children from the eligibility rules. If the hospital is to survive, or at least survive in its present form, relief of both sorts is neces-

INDIGENT CARE VITAL PART OF CENTER

This immediately suggests an important question which the community not only has every right to ask but should ask:

Why not send the patients who are causing these losses and who in many communities would be the responsibility of the taxpayer, to the city's public hospital, the District of Columbia General Hospital?

The answer to this question also falls in

several parts:
1. District of Columbia General Hospital already is caring for a capacity patient load of children.

2. Except under emergency conditions, the thousands of indigent children who are not eligible for Government reimbursement at Children's Hospital are not eligible for care at District of Columbia General Hospital. In fact, without Children's Hospital, there is no place to provide their health care needs under present regulations.

3. Thus, Children's Hospital cares for as many, and in some cases more, sick children who cannot pay for their care than does District of Columbia General Hospital. serves the northerly sections of the city for Government-eligible patients in the same way as District of Columbia General serves the southerly sections of the city. It is, therefore, the other half of facilities for such care and traditionally has been treated as the privately operated half of a public-private partnership devoted to caring for the city's sick poor children, having been expanded and modernized to do the job by the investment of over \$2 million of matching Govern-ment funds in recent years. There is no "third" place for them to go in any im-portant numbers.

4. Children's Hospital has been planned and staffed and its plant has been financed to do this job. In fact it was doing it as the sole such institution in the District of Columbia before the creation of a children's unit at District of Columbia General Hospital. Perhaps it should be compared to an eight-cylinder automobile; its operation could hardly be cut in half by removing four of the cylinders, and it is doubtful it would run at all in such a condition. To put it another way, Children's Hospital's ability to perform the charity task is built into its total function as a children's medical center providing the finest of health care for all types of patients, including those who can pay. Thus, if the institution remains prepared to meet fully the child health needs of the community, it is doubtful that important savings could be realized from simply turning away sick children even if they arrived at the door properly tagged "eligible" or "ineligible" which, of course, they do not.

5. In order to carry out the care of the

charity patients at less expense and to provide a stimulus to improved care for all patients and to fulfill a responsibility as a complete medical center, the hospital has, over the years, developed some of the country's best recognized training and research programs in child health. While the presence of teaching programs allows the charity care to be carried out at lesser cost, it is also true that the presence of charity or "ward" patients allows a stronger teaching and research program. A significant cut

spectrum of available health care services.

And, at some point, it could no longer serve as well the purpose of educating young doctors and nurses. It might no longer attract and hold highly skilled specialists who gravitate to an institution because of teaching and research opportunities thus concentrating for the benefit of the entire community in one place a central pool of specialized medical skills. If Children's Hospital were bereft of this concentrated team of specialists there would be little real justification for its existence. That function could just as well be fulfilled by adding beds for children at each of the general hospitals of the community.

Thus a specialty hospital like Children's is a meld of complex and interdependent parts. Take one part away and the whole tends to fall apart and become, relatively speaking, a nonessential community asset.

PROGRAMS GEARED FOR PAYING PATIENTS AS WELL AS INDIGENT

At this point another question suggests itself: Why does not the hospital make more of an effort to attract more paying patients to meet the competition of the general hospitals, particularly suburban hospitals conveniently located in higher income areas?

The answer to this is that all of the programs are intended to meet the needs of infants and children in the best possible way and thus to "attract" paying patients whose parents wish the best of medical and surgical care for their infants and children despite certain inconveniences of travel.

Since World War II the facilities of the hospital, including a new main building, research center and a modern diagnostic and treatment center (together costing \$5,800,741, \$3,580,335 of it privately donated and \$2,220,406 of it in matching Govern-ment funds) have been improved and expanded because the hospital recognized that changing times made it imperative that it equip itself to care for difficult and highly specialized cases in addition to routine cases that would gravitate inevitably to neighborhood hospitals. Thus, it has laid the groundwork for maintaining a reasonable increase in private patient load. But such a program

bears fruit slowly.

The medical board of the hospital is active in bringing to the attention of referring physicians the importance of having available an institution qualified to cope with the difficult and complex ailments of chil-dren; also the importance of supporting such an institution despite considerations of convenience.

BROADER PUBLIC GIVING WOULD MATCH GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

And, still another question is: Since Childrens' Hospital is a charitable institution, and, since private givers in the Washington area have proven themselves willing to support it through their donations and bequests, why doesn't the hospital increase its efforts to obtain more such support from the community?

Once again, the answer must be complex rather than simple:

The hospital has indeed increased its efforts over the past 3 years to solicit funds from individuals on the basis of a list of known friends and supporters of the institution. The list of contributors now includes the majority of those individuals who tra-ditionally and in the light of the trend toward unified appeals to support community charity can be expected to support such an institution in addition to their support of unified fund drives and their responsibility to the many other demands made upon their incomes by other worthwhile charitable, educational and cultural institutions and or-

level of giving by those who have tradi-

tionally supported the hospital or a much broader, public type, appeal. The board of directors of the hospital believes that such an additional appeal would receive much greater reception if the effort were to be matched closely by the Government's as-suming its fair share of the financial burden for the medical care of the poor.

CAN NATIONAL CAPITAL AFFORD NOT TO HAVE MAJOR CHILD HEALTH CENTER?

In the final analysis there are the questions: Can the Nation's Capital continue to afford a specialized institution devoted to the all around medical care of children? Also, what will happen if Children's Hospital ceases to exist in its present for?

The answer to these questions lies at the

heart of the matter.

Of all places in the world, the Nation's Capital should feel that it can afford to travel first class in this respect. Children deprived of the corrective health measures that modern medicine is capable of providing may grow up to cause the community vast expense in terms of institutional care for adolescents and adults, in terms of de-linquency and crime, in terms of unemployment brought about by physical and mental handicaps. Such ills can be pre-vented if the skills represented by the core of dedicated men and women brought together on a team basis such as those at Children's Hospital are brought to bear on a solution to these problems at an incipient

This kind of child health care is available and needed and used at Children's Hospital now, not only by the indigent family but by families at all income levels, including those on the Government payroll. It is used. those on the Government payroll. It is used, for example, by the families of Members of Congress and of the diplomatic corps.

The hospital and its various programs have been selected for visits by royalty, visiting statesmen and professional persons from all over the world.

Infants and children in families who may not even have heard of the hospital have benefited indirectly from its presence by and through the fact that it is training doctors and nurses in the finest of child health practice, is carrying out research to understand and combat children's problems.

But the Nation's Capital is beset by many problems. It may be that the specific prob-lems of the future existence of Children's Hospital in its present form—a children's medcial center, evolved through nearly a century of devotion to child health into the force for good in the community that it now is—cannot be salvaged in the context of life as it exists in the Nation's Capital

This statement of the situation represents what amounts to a last resort. If it fails of response, the corporate members of and directors of Children's Hospital will be forced to make such adjustments and to adopt such solutions as the hard realities of the situation dictate.

RUDOLPH KAUFFMAN II,
President, Children's Hospital of the
District of Columbia.

Mr. CLARK. Mr. President, I invite the attention of my colleagues to the desirability of the Congress rallying to the rescue of this splendid institution which may be forced to close its doors unless finances are provided for it.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there further morning business?

Mr. McGEE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the rule of germaneness be waived for a very minor remark.

objection, it is so ordered.

Is there further morning buthers?

REPUBLICAN STATEMENT OF AMER-ICAN POLICY ON VIETNAM

Mr. COOPER. Mr. President, a few minutes ago the distinguished majority leader [Mr. MANSFIELD] commented upon the statement made by some 55 Republicans in the House on Vietnam.

I have read the statement. I do not agree with one portion of it, at least. That portion is, as I remember, that it recommended that American commanders should take command of the Vietnamese troops. I rise nevertheless to say—as I happen to be one of the few Republicans on the floor at this timethat I believe this statement, whether the Senator agrees with it or not, or I do, brings into play the very debate that he, himself has recommended, that Vietnam should be discussed, and discussed openly and objectively.

I might also say, without any attempt to compare this particular statement with other statements that have been made in the Senate, that policy in Vietnam has been discussed on the floor of the Senate for months. Some Members of the majority have been, almost daily, very critical of the administration's position on Vietnam. The majority leader. himself, has an alternative to the program of the administration in Vietnam.

I would not want to stop by making these comments. I believe that it is necassary to maintain the strength of our troops in Vietnam, a strength capable of

maintaining our position.

I believe also that every possible alternative should be considered which would be helpful. I would advocate a convocation of the Geneva Conference, not with the purpose of agreeing to neutralization, but with the purpose of seeing if there is any possibility of any agreement that would recognize two separate, sovereign countries, and support for the position, and support against the continued aggression and subversion of North Vietnam. Thus could lead, I hope, to the establishment of multilateral patrols along the borders.

I think such a convocation might involve the possibility, however doubtful it may be, of staying increased military movements which could lead to escala-tion and final confrontation of the United States and Communist China.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. time of the Senator has expired.

Mr. COOPER. I do not agree with the entire statement made relating to American command of the Vietnam forces, but the House Members have the right to state their positions.

Mr. MANSFIELD. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the time of the Senator may be extended.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so agreed.

Mr. MANSFIELD. I am not at all averse to a reconvening of the Geneva Conference to consider the situation in press for a U.N. role—at least some role

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without der certain circumstances, to a reconvening of the Geneva Conference of 1954 covering the situation in Vietnam and the other parts of what used to be known as French Indochina. But I certainly cannot imagine the Senator from Kentucky, with his wide experience as a diplomat. as a member of the State Department, and as a member of the U.S. delegation to the United Nations, agreeing to a proposal which would make that a war under direct American military command and control in a foreign country

Mr. COOPER. I just said that I did not agree with such a suggestion. I said that the majority leader took occasion to criticize the proposal, which I do not agree with; but I must say that almost every day Senators, on his side are disagreeing with the administration posi-

tion.

Mr. MANSFIELD. The Senator is correct.

Mr. COOPER. The majority leader himself has offered alternatives, con-structive proposals, I believe that alternatives should be constructive.

Mr. MANSFIELD. As I said in my remarks, I welcome discussion. All I hope is that the discussion will be constructive. I do not care for opposition on the part of either Republicans or Democrats for the sake of opposition. If a Senator is going to oppose, he should come forth with a constructive alternative, if he finds fault with a policy which the Nation has in effect at the time he makes his remarks or offers his solution.

Mr. JAVITS. Mr. President, will the Senator vield?

Mr. MANSFIELD. I yield.

Mr. JAVITS. I wish to address myself to the subject under discussion. I am grateful to the majority leader for remaining while I do so. It is interesting that the report of the task force on the part of the Republican Party in the House is side by side with the report of Ambassador Lodge to the people of the United States and to the President. I wish to identify myself with that group which believes, regardless of party, that we must stick it out in Vietnam. I do not believe that we ought to pull out. I believe there is too much at stake for us to pull out. Also I do not believe that we should overtly extend the war into North Vietnam, which has been recommended by some. I feel that while Senators, as the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Cooper) has said, have every right to speak and should be encouraged to speak and have been encouraged to speak their views, I cannot accept the proposition that any American President would not wish to win a struggle in which American lives and the destiny of freedom are concerned. I do not believe that there should be any implication in their statement, much as they may differ with the policy which is involved.

The majority leader has called for constructive suggestions.

First, there is no question about the fact that a U.N. role in the problem is essential. In my judgment, we should Approved For Release 2005/01/0511 CFARTIPE 6B00409R000200950009-3re allegedly beATTENDED OF THE STATE OF THE ST

ing infiltrated, as Vietnam is an inde-

pendent and sovereign country.

Second, there is a complete inade-quacy of cooperation with us by allies who ought to be as deeply interested as we. I do not make that statement in we. I do not make that statement in any sense of remonstrance, but it is a direction for activity by the United States. The war is Australia's war as much as our own. It intimately threatens Australia's future freedom and security. The war is Malaysia's war. It is a war of the Philippines. It is also a war of Taiwan, or the Republic of China on Taiwan. It also deeply involves Japan. Those are at least some of the nations. It is next door to Taiwan, one nations. It is next door to Taiwan, one of our strongest and firmest allies in that area of the world. An American overture of the most urgent kind is required to obtain cooperation from other

nations in Asia.

Third, the success of freedom is involved. Therefore, the job of economic reconstruction in the country of India, the Government of India, and its security against the Chinese Communist incursions—all of these are critically important, just as is the defeat of the effort of the subject of to subvert the new Government of Malasia. It is critically important that we make a success in those struggles be-cause they reflect on the whole position

in southeast Asia.

Finally, I have great pride in the bipartisan foreign policy forecast and
practiced by Arthur Vandenberg, and followed by Eisenhower, Nixon, and the other leaders of our party up until today. I am deeply pledged to it. I intend to stand with it in the policy I have outlined which, I believe, with respect to Vietnam, I am following in the finest traditions, offered for us and our allies, and which has also been joined in on our other side by the late Secretary Cordell Hull and other leaders, along with the late President Kennedy and President

Mr. MORSE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed at this point in the RECORD communications I have received from people across the country who thoroughly disagree with U.S. policy in Asia.

There being no objection, the com-munications were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

New York, N.Y., June 24, 1964. Senator Wayne Morse,

Senator Wayns Morss,
Senate Building, Capitol Hill,
Washington, D.C.:
Keep up fight against Vietnam flasco.
Your words make sense.

MIKE SPECTOR.

TACOMA, WASH., June 29, 1964. Senator Wayne Morse,

Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

You have done a world of good already by your masterful responses to interrogators Scalley and Rawlson on "Issues and Answers" yesterday. No acknowledgment necessary. REX S. ROUDEBUSH.

San Francisco, Calif., June 29, 1964. Hon, Wayne Morse, Senate Office Building,

Dr. and Mrs. RAYMOND.

Los Angeles, Calif., June 28, 1964. Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

Congratulations on your appeal for legality, commonsense, and peace on "Issues and An-

SIDNEY MEYER.

BROOKLYN, N.Y., June 17, 1964. Hon. WAYNE MORSE,

U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

My Dear Senator Morse: The country and the world owe you and Senator Gruening a debt which it will never be able to fully a debt which it will never be able to fully repay. Attacking official U.S. policy is many times not only irresponsible but impossible. Irresponsible because those people making policy have access to information not generally known which may greatly influence the solution picked for the problem in question. tion. Impossible because the President, Secretary of Defense, and Secretary of State are always on the front pages of newspapers and magazines and always on the radio and television news broadcasts. Their opinions and ideas are generally known. The other side often goes unnoticed. We do not always think about the unthinkable. Thus, the administration can much more easily build

up their support than can the opposition.

This is the case presently with Vietnam.
Here, opposition has been impossible but not irresponsible. As a member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee you have had access to all the administration's in-formation—or at least whatever they have been willing to let you see. Even so, until recently only the Congressional Record and a very few newspapers presented the opposition point of view. The first time that the TV mentioned that you were making speeches on Vietnam was when a channel two reporter, on Vietnam was when a channel two reporter, speaking on the progress of the civil rights bill, said that you again interrupted the "debate" to speak on McNamara's war. No mention was made of your reasons for this opposition. Indeed, the way he made mention of your speech was in a contemptuous way-as if you were wasting the time of the

Recently, however, several newspapers have, at least, mentioned your name. This is a beginning. More people are now talking about Vietnam and when the Senate takes up the foreign aid bill the Vietnam debate will really get going.

I do not support present U.S. policy in Vietnam. I do support taking the issue to the United Nations where it belongs. The

the United Nations where it belongs. war is probably a civil one since not many Communist Chinese or North Vietnamese are directly involved but this may be debatable. How important the war really is to our security and in terms of such intangible things as American lives and material things such as money and arms may also be debatable. But what is not debatable is that our policy toward this war in Vietnam is a part of the larger issue of whether we want for our-selves and our children a world of law, or "liberty and justice for all" or as President Kennedy said in his inaugural address: "A new endeavor, not a new balance of power, but a new world of law where the strong are just and the weak secure and the peace preserved." Or whether we want a world based on the jungle law of military might with "the intoxication of power, constantly increasing and constantly growing subtler. Always at every moment * * * the thrill of victory, the sensation of trampling on an enemy who is helpless. If you want a pic-ture of [this] future, imagine a boot stamp-ing on a human face—forever." (George Orwell in "1984".)

not force and arms.

At a time when we are extending the boundary of law at home must we leave it in Vietnam? Daniel Webster once said that "whatever government is not a government of laws is a despotism, let it be called what it may." Today, I say that whatever world is not a world of laws is a despotism, let it be called what it may.

To you, Senator Morse, and to Senator

GRUENING I say please continue to speak out against our unnecessary, illegal, and immoral actions in Vietnam.

Sincerely,

NEIL M. HORWITZ.

BROOKLYN, N.Y. I would also like to take this time to commend you, Senator Morse, for your stand on mend you, senator manase, for your stants of civil rights. Few people have ever been as consistently right on so many important is-sues as you have been. When history records these days it will be seen that you were one of the Senators most responsible for so strong yet just a bill being passed by Congress.

I would like to pose several questions:
1. Under cloture, I thought that only the civil rights bill would be discussed and that, for example, there would be no morning hour or no nongermane speech, even on the Senator's time, would be allowed. Which of these was the intent of the Senate?

2. On Thursday, June 11, 1964 a modified Ervin amendment was passed 80 to 16. It added a new section 1102 to the bill. Under this amendment, if a man commits a crime and has his day in court and then later com-mits the same crime can he be tried again? If originally he was tried for say, contempt arising under his first crime, can he, for the second crime, be tried for the crime itself?

3. Briefly, if possible, what was the Motorola case?

4. On 'The Making of the President, 1960" last week it mentioned your running for President against the then Senator Kennedy. I presume that this was in the Oregon pri-mary. Is this correct and what was the

Thank you, Senator Morse, for letting me take some of your so valuable time. Once again, please continue speaking out against our policy toward the war in Vietnam. Again, thank you very much.

NET. M. HOROWITZ (Again).

Los Angeles, Calif., June 14, 1964. Hon. Senator WAYNE MORSE.

DEAR SENATOR: I want to congratulate you on your remarks concerning the southeast Asia area and situation.

I hope we listen to your advice and bring neutrality and peace to that area instead of destruction.

Keep up your remarks in the interest of

Yours truly,

GARY ALEXANDER.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF., June 14, 1964

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have read some of your remarks on our foreign policy in southeast Asia. I believe you are doing a great job. Someone in the Senate has to try to bring some sanity to our policy in that part of the world. If we continue as we are going, South Vietnam will turn, at best, into another Korea.

We are getting in a position of no return. Please keep up your vigorous attacks on our policy in Asia. I, like you, feel we should take our problem to the U.N. If the U.N. isn't good enough for us, it won't be good enough for anyone else. It takes much more than money to keep the U.N. as an effective force. It takes the U.N.'s faith in its ma-Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.:

We applaud and support rever the lease 2005/64/05 of Cherrich B66 B90 40 and College Stand on American policy in southeast Asia.

Dr and Wis Raymonn.

The one depends on nations forever taking arms to settle disagreements, the other chinery, or the U.N.'s faith in its machinery, or the U.N.'s faith in its machinery to keep the U.N.'s faith in its machinery, or the U.N.'s faith in its machinery to keep the U.N.'s faith in its machinery, or t someone else who said, "The only thing we

learn from history is that we don't learn from history." The time in southeast Asia is growing short.

Please keep up your magnificent efforts. Many Americans are behind you.

Very truly yours,

GILBERT B. FRIEDMAN.

VANCOUVER, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA. Senator WAYNE MORSE, The Senate,

Capitol Hill. Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I live in Vancouver, Canada, and heard your interview with Station CJOR, Monday, June 4. If I was a praying man I would flop down on my knees and thank God that there is a litle spark of sanity yet in the United States. Everything you said was exactly according to facts and your estimation as to the solution right now also squares with the development and stage that the world is in, re the newly emerging na-tions and those waiting to be born, South

America, etc.

Your interviewer is still befuddled by cold-war semantics and brainwash. Communism won't overrun or move into any country, no matter how small, until the present way of life has broken down and the people them-selves want a change. I'm afraid time is running out on us and we need many more voices like yours with courage and under-standing to let their fellow Americans real-tize that they do not have this holy mission bestowed upon them to force their way of life on the rest of the world. It wasn't humanly possible to make more blunders and mistakes than U.S. internal and foreign policy has and if they pursue this line, we surely face annihilation.

Once more the thanks of many, many thousands of Canadians and surely men of good will all over the world for your brave

stand against such great odds. Very sincerely yours.

Mr. E. ENGLE.

ALGONAC, MICH., June 10, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I compliment you on your true and courageous statements re: our activities in Vietnam, Cambodia, Leos, etc., today.

It is many a day believe me since we have heard a Senator in U.S. Congress stand up

and tell the truth as you did.

It is a terrible thing when any people can no longer trust their government to tell the truth. We are being lied to all along the

Scully's argument was really something to behold—i.e., that we should not take the time to take on disgraceful adventure in Vietnam, etc., to the United Nations as that would give "the Communists time to take over.

If the people of Vietnam think commu-nism more suitable to their needs than our famous "free enterprise" which is a polite name for monopoly today, then all our vicious war against those poor people will never change their minds but will only strengthen their hatred of United States as indeed it should.

Scully's assertion that we have not vio-lated our international agreements was sickening and idiotic—he can lie so brazenly because he knows how brainwashed the average American is by monopolistic control of all means of communications.

As for that pathetic creature, Stevenson how can you adequately express the con-tempt any citizen can feel for him.

But thoughtful people are profoundly thankful for men like you and Senator GRUENING and a few others (how pitifully few) and I'll bet your words today will be the peace.

I am occassionally asked to speak before heard around the world approved For Release 2003/01/05: CLA-ROP66B004 The peace by U.N. skep-were to you my dear sir, if we sure

vive as a nation with any self-respect at all

it will be because of men like you, not little bootlickers like Adlai Stevenson. What a figure he cuts before the U.N.

In sincere appreciation of your honest efforts on behalf of country.

D. FLORENCE FAGG.

BANTA BARBARA, CALLY. Senator Morse.

DEAR SENATOR: I enjoyed your viewpoint on Vietnam on "Face the Nation."

Would like to see you appear on other net-

MIS. KATPERINE BERMAN.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. June 16, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I should like to thank you for the fine stand you have taken on the Vietnam situation that we are now unfortunately involved in. To begin with, our Government (or CIA) has no right infringing on other people's rights of self-defringing on other people a glass of security termination. Then, to claim that there are foreign invaders, that the people ask for defense, and to go ahead and perpetuate a war fraught with dictatorships, torture, lies, and misinformation to the American people is a terrible disgrace. Please continue your fine work.

Sincerely.

DREW LANGSNER.

SANTA MONICA, CALIF. June 15, 1364.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

HON. SENATOR MORSE: We fully support your views as expressed on "Face the Nation" with regard to the war we are conducting against South Vietnam. We believe that in view of the pressures exerted for our Government greater participation in South Victnam (as witness program of June 14, Marguerite Higgins, etc.), it is imperative that you repeat your talk on TV in the very near future before we as a nation become embroiled beyond recall in a nuclear allout war.

in profound appreciation for your humanity and sanity

Respectfully,

Mrs. G. BLCOM.

PHILADELPHIA, PA. June 27, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE. I admire the moral patriotic stand you have taken on the dirty little war in Indo-China. Long may you teach the people.

JAMES DUGAN.

MOORESTOWN, N.J.

DEAR MR. Morse: I heard your broadcast on ABC radio June 28 and am in agreement with your thoughts on the Vietnam situa-I support your efforts to advance your foreign policy philosophy and as a private citizen will be glad to aid you in any way I can.

Sincerely.

EMALIE M. SMITH.

DEAR SENATOR: I listened to you on the TV program today. You were great. Now if you could be seen by more people on TV or be quoted in the managed press.

With best wishes.

ZACK KORN.

ANDOVER, MASS June 29, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Do keep plugging for meeting at United Nations to help keep

P.S.-Saw you on TV last night.

JUNE 28, 1964. Dear Senator Mosse: As a former resident of the Pacific Northwest I am proud to congratulate you on your civilized stand on the Vietnam war.

Regards,

D. S. HERSKOWITZ.

NEWTON PUEBLO, COLO.

Siz: Admiring your talk on today's program, please keep up the good work. It was with the keenest appreciation that I listened to you. Somebody has to speak out and trust you will continue to do so. I'm no pacifist nor the other way either although I have 10 years' service under my belt. I see no earthly use of sending more troops to Salgon or any other place over there.

J. E. WICKERYOU.

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.:

I listened to your interview with Nancy Dickersen of NBC's "Today" program this morning—subject of "The War in Vietnam," and I want to say my husband and I agree

with every word you spoke.

More power to you and may you have some influence to stop our country from trying to police the entire Asian Continent.

We can't dictate to our neighbors and I think as a country (of which we are proud) we should quit trying to dictate the policies of other nations.

Mrs. WM. SCHUCHART.

DEARBORN, MICH., June 26, 1964.

Hon. Senator WAYNE MORSE, The U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: May we congratulate you on your stand on South Vietnam. We listened to you on the "Today" show and also Frank Church with the opposite view. We need more people like you to point the way back to our country's basic principles and our Constitution, especially to younger people in public office—Frank Church. Our Constitution perhaps should be read in its entirety to each Senator every 6 months

We are with you all the way too on the failure of the United States to utilize the United Nations. Most smaller nations and most Americans probably wonder why it hasn't been used.

Congratulations again and best wishes for great success in your stand.

Sincerely.

Mr. and Mrs. RAY E. GOEBORO.

PITTSBURGH, PA., June 27, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have been wanting to congratulate you for quite some time concerning your enlightened opinions on foreign policy. I certainly hope you continue to work as effectively as you know how towards a more realistic Vietnam policy, and other policies.

It is a pleasure to read your speeches in various magazines. It is indeed a shame that the newspapers don't hardly give you any coverage.

You have my deepest gratitude for your courageous work.

Sincerely yours, STEPHEN FREEMAN.

> SANTA BARBARA, CALIF., June 27, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We hope you keep pounding away at the idea of bringing the Vietnam situation before the United Nations.

Here is a threat to world peace. Can't the U.N. at least discuss the issue?

My best wishes.

D, L, MARTIN.

JUNE 22, 1964.

Dear Senator Morse: I am very much in agreement with the stand you took with regard to the war in Vietnam.

I doubt very much if we are making any true friends there in Vietnam and around the world by our presence there. Many people are shocked by our indiscriminate bombing with napalm and threats of atomic waring with napaim and threats of acome water fare. We have defended the tyranny of Diem and bought our way in at that. The people are tired of war and by our presence there it will prolong the strife and agony for years to come.

We should remember what France paid in lives and money for years without any gain. I doubt if we will get any other countries

Let's take a long-range look at the situa-tion. As you have said, the best thing we can do now is go to the U.N. This makes sense to me and the only wise move we can make at this time.

We need more men of stature to stand up to the hotheads and the uninformed. We need to make some long-range plans and "think the unthinkable."

Sincerely,

Don Linderman. P.S.-I am an ex-constituent of yours, 28 years in Oregon.

> MINNEAPOLIS, MINN., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE Morse, Washington, D.C.

Washington, D.C.

Dear Senators: This is to tell you that we heard you on the "Today Show" and we appreciate your stand on the Vietnam problem. If we had 99 more men like you in the Senate and 435 in the House with your convictions the rest of us humble citizens could rid ourselyes of our national guilt and shame.

We should understand that communism is an ideology and cannot be detained with bullets. The Romans tried to suppress the Christians and failed. The Christians tried to suppress the Moslems and they flourished faster than ever. Now the Christians are trying to suppress communism and for every Communist they detain abroad, four Communists in sympathy are generated at home.

For many long years these poor people in southeast Asia have tried to rid themselves of foreign impostors and now we, the most destructive of them all, are in there to ruin their land and homes. If we don't get out our Democratic Party is going down to defeat this fell election feat this fall election.

Please Senator, more of the same, you have millions of right-thinking people back of you. Yours sincerely,

E. P. LEVINE.

GLENDALE, CALIF., June 25, 1964. Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have listened to

your courageous talks against war several times with great admiration, but have not been courageous enough myself to write to you. Your views on this unbelievable action in Southeast Asia and mine seem to be alike.

Not only do I object to sending young American men there to die, but I object to their (or our) killing and burning those poor miserable people of Vietnam and Laos. Like you, I am very critical of my government, and certainly do not support President Johnson's actions. I believe that President Kennedy grew with his position as president and would never have gone this fareven under pressure.

haviour—not only in Southeast Asia, but Cuba and South America—I am downright ashamed of it. But, thank God, in you we have a man who is not afraid to speak out. I hope everyone heard you speak on NBC today. Bless you, sir, and good heart to you.

Very sincerely,

Margaret Dornhauser.

STONEWALL, OKLA., June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: I do not know how many people, I have heard say amen to every word that you said on NBC's "Today." I suggested I suggested we write and tell you how proud we had a man in the Senate that would stand on his two feet and tell the American people the truth about this (Vietnam) situation. Get our boys out of there, but they all said that it would be paper for your wastebasket.

This is one thing that could defeat Presi-

dent Johnson

Sincerely yours,

FRED MCKEEL.

GENEVA, N.Y., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, House of Senate,

Washington, D.C.

HON, AND DEAR SIR: Thank you for your forthright and courageous statement about the situation in southeast Asia. We are certainly going headlong into something which will lead to disaster and a war which can be unending, and become a worldwide conflagration. What has become of President Kennedy's assertion, "that we do not tear to negotiate but we will not negotiate out of fear." The conference table is the place of wisdom. I am convinced now before it is too late. Some way must be found to organize minority opinion on this grave matter. Can you get someone to take the lead? Keep up your efforts.
Faithfully yours,

MELVIN ABSON, Retired.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. SENATOR: A foolish woman once insulted you by saying you had been kicked in the head by a horse. If I could offer one suggestion for the future of American foreign policy, it would be: Find that horse and set him loose in the Department of State,

I listened to your presentation on the ABC television program "Issues and Answers" relevision program "issues and Answers" earlier this afternoon and then tuned in the NBC "Open Mind" program entitled "The Crisis in Vietnam." In your half hour you made more sense on Vietnam and southeast Asia generally than the whole gang on "Open Mind"—including a Princeton professor, a pair of journalists (one foreign, one domestic), a foreign policy scholar, and the Special Assistant to the Secretary of State for Vict-namese Affairs—were able to achieve in 60 dreary minutes. Particularly valuable was dreary minutes. Particularly valuable was your suggestion for combining a SEATO peacekeeping force with an emergency United Nations meeting, to be followed by a special UN force in that troubled land. Here is a way for us to oppose a Communist takeover without resorting to a dime-store Machiavel-ilanism that flouts our charter commitments to the United Nations, defles moral principle, offends Africa and Asia and Latin America, alarms our allies, tantalizes our enemies, and threatens a nuclear holocaust.

Who can tell whether your suggestions will be followed? I for one am grateful that they have been given,

ago next Januray, had to entitle one chapter of his autobiography "Alone in the Senate." A giant can stand alone when necessary.

Persist. Yours sincerely,

GEORGE KOSKI.

CLEVELAND, OHIO.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I am sending you this page from the Cleveland Plain Dealer to let you know that there are a good many people throughout this country who think-as you do about the Vietnam question, and who back you 100 percent in your effort to prevent a war over there.

Keep on expressing yourself in this matter. More power to you.

Sincerely,

ADA N. LEFFINGWELL.

[From the Cleveland Plain Dealer] OPEN LETTER AD ASKS VIET NEGOTIATIONS

An open letter to the State Department, signed by 69 Clevelanders from all walks of life and urging negotiations instead of stepped-up war in Vietnam, is published in the Plain Dealer today as a paid advertise-

The letter's publication has been timed to coincide with a foreign policy conference here today in which the State and Defense Departments are participating.

"The signatures are of those persons we could readily reach within the last 10 days," said Sheldon D. Clark, Cleveland lawyer and initiator of the open letter.

"In my talks with fellow Clevelanders it was felt that a statement to the officials of the two Departments at this time afforded the best means of expressing ourselves as

forcibly as we know how," Clark said, Scheduled to attend the all-day conference in Hotel Sheraton-Cleveland are George W. Ball, Under Secretary of State, and John T. McNaughton of the Defense Department, Acting Assistant Secretary for International Affairs.

Among the signers of the open letter are Dr. Benjamin Spock of Western Reserve Unibr. Benjamin Spook of Western Reserve Uni-versity, an authority on child development; the Reverend Alan J. Davis, pastor of Alders-gate Methodist Church; the Reverend Dennis G. Kuby, pastor of Unitarian Society of Cleveland; Paul Olynyk of Fenn College, an advocate of a sane nuclear policy; Harold J. Quigley, leader of the Cleveland Ethical Society; Jack G. Day, lawyer, active in civil rights cases; Sam Sponseller, retired labor leader, and Oscar H. Steiner, businessman.

Money for the ad was raised by those who signed the open letter, Clark said.

[From the Plain Dealer, June 18, 1964] AN OPEN LETTER TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE-NEEDED: AN APPROACH TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM

The United States is a foreign party to a civil war in Vietnam. Fifteen thousand U.S. uniformed personnel have been committed to the battle. Casualties include American soldiers.

Acceleration of the American war effort in South Vietnam invites increased aid by China to the Communist Vietnamese. Stepping up the war effort risks the use by either side of nuclear arms. Nuclear war offers no victory. What is the alternative?

Negotiation is urged by Senator Wayne Morse, Senator Ernest Gruening, Columnist Walter Lippmann and others. Recognizing it takes both sides to make peace, we urge our Government to initiate a conference of na-Kennedy grew with his position as president and would never have gone this far—

even under pressure. Approved For Releases 1065/10 1705 in C1A - ROP66B0448 100020 115000943 Nations can assist I am not only critical of this vicious be
they have been given.

Whether this Nation hears or whether it support U.S. efforts to insure enforcement of support U.S. efforts to insure enforcement of support U.S. efforts to insure enforcement of the senate 60 years direct negotiation. Inspection teams must

be free to operate wherever needed. They can be backed by frontier patrols. The best guarantee of enforcement is the mutual ad-vantage of the agreement. The goal is a Vietnam whose independence is guaranteed

and respected.

Robert R. Archer, Professor; Raymond obert R. Archer, Professor; Haymond S. Beard, Business Counselor; Marguerite S. Bellamy, Housewife; Keith C. Billman, Social Worker; Evelyn A. Blackburn, Insurance Agent; Isabel N. Bliss, Homemaker; William M. Bliss, Engineer; Edith O. Brashares, College Instructor; Edwin A. Brown, Minister; Rilma Buckman, Sociologist; Bronson P. Clark, Businessman; Sheldon D. Clark, Lawyer; Lila Cornell, Home-maker; Marie F. Cotton, Homemaker; maker; Marie F. Cotton, Homemaker; Wendell P. Cotton, Salesman; Natalie C. Crouter, Housewife; Elfrieda S. Dal-ber, Secretary; Alan J. Davis, Minister; Hortense M. Davis, Teacher; Jack G. Day, Lawyer; Steven Deutsch, University Faculty; Mort Epstein, Designer; Gail E. Gann, Homemaker; Donald S. Gann, Surgeon; Joel M. Garver, Lawyer; Faul Gitlin, Social Worker; Henry 'Gluck, Psychologist; William F. Heilmuth, Jr., professor; Arnold A. Herzog. Lawyer; Fred Husa, Office Worker; Sidney D. Josephs, Businessman; Mrs. Harry Kirtz, Homemaker; Waldo H. Kliever, Consultant; Dennis G. Kuby, Minister; Jerome Landfield, College Professor; Ada N. Leffingwell, Homemaker; George Levinger, Educator; John P. Marhevka, Male Hair Stylist; Mrs. Edward A. Marshall, Homemaker; yer; Paul Gitlin, Social Worker; Henry Mrs. Edward A. Marshall, Homemaker; Charles R. Miller, Lawyer; Paul I. Miller, Professor; Hans F. Mueller, Retired; Laura Mueller, Homemaker; Sarah B. Menner, Housewife; James M. Newman, Advertising; Paul Olynyk, Professor; Clyde Onyett, Public Relations; William W. Outland, Order Analyst; Sam-uel Prellwitz, Industrial Research; Harold J. Quigley, Minister; Willard C. Richan, Educator; Eldon P. Roe, Mer-Chant; Marian Rosenberg, Social Worker; Ralph Rudd, Lawyer; Audrey Sebadoah, Librarian; Nicholas Sabadosh, Teacher; Wilmer L. Satterthwalt, Painter; Vera A. Schwartz, Legal Secretary; A. L. Sherwin, Lawyer; Frank Spigel, Lawyer; Benjamin Spock, Phy-sician; Sam Sponseller, Betired; Vera Smisek, Teacher; Oscar H. Steiner, Businessman; Helen Stewart, High School Counselor; Edward A. Taubert, Photographer; Warren E. Thompson, Educator; Hugh Tyson, Graduate Stu-dent; Harry O. Way, Bacteriologist. (This statement paid for by the signers.)

> LITTLE ROCK, ARK. June 24, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: May I express my deep appreciation for the position you have consistently taken on the Vietnam situation? It seems you are one of very few in the Senate who have taken this position, yet I believe most of the American people stand with you.

It seems, sometimes, that the administration has been goaded into its position by the Birchites, etc. It can't be good politics and it certainly is not good foreign policy. The U.N. may have some business there but not the United States. If these people are to be saved from communism what are they to be saved for? Another Madame Nhu?

In our country's foreign policy does every other country have to be either capitalist or Communist? What's wrong with letting them decide. If they decide to try some socialistic form of government then that is their business. I simply cannot subscribe to the has just about the same opinion of the situation in southeast Asia that you have. They will all the problems in this country that with right and that their system is synonymous with wrong. Why do we want to keep on being ugly Americans in the eyes

of most of the citizens of the world? have been unsuccessful in making law-abid-ing citizens out of Mississippians (and others). Who do we think we are in telling southeast Asia how to live? A war there may never be lost but it is certain it would er be won.

Please continue to do all in your power to let the President and others in positions of responsibility know what you think is right for America during these times.

In appreciation,

TED H. CRABIREE.

P.S.—My typing is at least as good as my spelling.

ALLEGAN, MICH., June 26, 1964. Senator WAYNE MORSE.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR Morse: Have seem many times on TV but the issue of the Vietnam war June 25 was right to the point; we are all with you here. Why do they use this issue as a political football during this election campaign? We are certainly losing ground on all America was founded on. Don't we have enough red-blooded American Senators that would take a stand with you? Have you ever thought of running for the Presidency? I believe you would do a good job for us—would like to see more of you on

Sincerely.

MARIE C. SMITH.

JUNE 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MOREE: You are right in everything you said about the war in Vietnam. This is a United Nations and SEATO nam. This is a United Nations and SEATO problem—not ours. We have no business policing Asia. My son is over there and I want him home. I hope you win your point and I am not alone. I can produce dosens of families that feel as I do. We are sticking our nose into other people's troubles and have enough of our own. God bless you.

PATRICIA M. PRAZES.

P.S.-I saw you on "Today Show" this a.m. You were wonderful but makes my heart bleed to think of another war.

BROOKLYN, N.Y., June 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: So many of my friends and I want to commend you on your

stand on "our" war in Vietnam and Laos.
There is enough to work for here at home
besides interfering in civil wars on the other side of the world.

Keep up the good work. With best wishes,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Frances G. Berlin.

JUNE 25, 1964

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your appearance on the "Today" show, Thursday, June 24 was sensational. I wish every man and woman in America could have heard you. In the past I have seldom agreed with you, but to-day I stood up at my breakfast table and cheered. Thank you, sir.

Mrs. E. J. KESSLER.

JUNE 26, 1964.

Senator Mosse. Dear Sm: After hearing you talk on the "Today" show, June 25, I just want to tell you what you sald makes sense in my opinion. I hope and pray that more Congressmen take

the same position.

On the "Today" show they announced after your interview that Senator CHURCH would

Jack Lescoulie on the "Today" show said it was surprising to him that people didn't seem to know or care about this problem we have taken on as ours in southeast Asia, of course those are not his exact words but that was the general idea he was talking about. It is a shame that more of our people can-not be awakened to the need to be actively interested in what their lawmakers are doing.

I am very thankful to the "Today" show for trying to help in this matter. Sincerely,

Mrs. GLADYS BRANDHUBER.

SAN DIEGO, CALIF. June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I agree with your position opposing the present involvement of the United States in Southeast Asia and further extension of such involvement.

I urge you to carry your opposition and viewpoint aggressively to the people via every means of communication possible. It is only such voices as yours that can prevent the United States from further violation of international law and treaties.

Respectfully yours,

D. W. MILLER.

Minneapolis, Minn., June 24, 1964. My Dear Senator Morse: I wish to express my sincere gratitude for your courageous efforts in opposing our Government's policy in South Vietnam.

Your vocal expressions are the only optimistic note in this unbelievable mess. Mrs. Allan F. Sklader.

> GRAND HAVEN, MICH., June 24, 1964.

Hon. Senator WAYNE MORSE.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I surely agree with your talk over the "Today" program on the talk you gave over the air. You are a 100-percent American and you are certainly right on your

Red China stand.
Stop and think what it will mean to have any war of any kind.

Keep up the good work. Respectfully yours,

ROBERT I. DICK.

PLAINFIELD, N.J. Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I heard you this morning on "Today" and I think you are absolutely right, It is an outrage to send our boys to be killed in Asia. We stick our noses in too many countries and the money we pour into Communist countries is outrageous. If I had a son, I think I would rather he died a natural death than to send him to Vietnam. Do keep up your work and try and curb the ridiculous spending of life and money outside of the United States.

Sincerely,

HELEN STIRTSMAN.

P.S.-My husband was mayor of Plainfield in 1929 and judge of the district court; were he alive, he would agree with you.

> CLEVELAND, OHIO. June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: My husband and I have just finished listening to you on the "Today" television show. We are so grateful to find we still have a statesman in our Gov-

June 28, 1964, printed the following statement: "Senator Wayne Morse, Oregon Demo-

crat, who disagrees with administration's Vietnam policy, is getting close attention

from Communist news agencies. When he said he was convinced 'the greatest threat

to the peace of the world is the United States,' the Communists broadcast his remark."

booth I vote independently of party labels or tags. But I do say, and have said ever since World War I, that the United States,

as today's reading of events and actions since World War I, to the present day and

time proves such a charge as most correct in all details. And, Mr. Mosse, you don't have to be a Communist to see that.

only our respectability and leadership among

only our respectability and leadership among the nations of the world's people, we as a nation have, and are, becoming a nation that is despised and hated by the world's exploited, robbed, and murdered peoples, that was and is being accelerated by the hour by and through the "clock and dagger"

hour, by and through the "cloak and dagger"

organization—the CIA.

Our Constitution which may not be the

acme and omega of perfection, nevertheless,

is being torn asunder and our personal liberties being obliterated one by one, every

day. We do not legislate by law today. We

legislate by committees, witch hunters, and inquisition, and thereby traveling the very

same highway that Rome trod, and will meet

In closing, be prepared to be maligned, ridiculed, slandered, and reviled, even impeached, if not murdered, if you stand by

America as a country, is great, grand, and

beautiful. But surely is badly managed.

And the present management better soon

be changed to a better one. Or there won't

I have been watching—and remonstrating—at the hypocritical, wasteful destruc-

tion of this country's resources and produced

wealth since the year 1884 and the only

difference from then to now is we are travel-

ing down the slope to chaos faster than logs down a mountainside.

These are times that try men's souls. Are you and some others just summer sol-

diers, or are you made up of the materials that stand the heat of battle? I hope so,

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I heard you on TV Sunday and I want you to know how wholeheartedly I agree with you on foreign policy and especially on the trouble in Vietnam.

Most respectfully yours,

be anything worth, or too manage.

In other words, we are fiddling while the

the same destruction.

your guns, which is a fact.

United States burns.

but time will tell.

Senator Wayne Morse,

Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

Instead of maintaining and expanding not

Mr. WAYNE MORSE, when I enter the voting

practically everyone's doorstep. This to me is just not fair reasoning.

We have two sons serving in the Armed Forces at the present time. One a young ensign on the U.S.S. Dominant, in the Mediterranean, and the other a private first class, in Germany. Our young next door neighbor is now in Valley Forge Hospital from injuries received in Vietnam, so these scattered "bon-

fires" are very real to us. If there is anything that you know of that average citizens can do to help you get this problem into the United Nations and on into the right channels, we shall be very glad to help.

Thank you again for sharing your enlightened wisdom with us.

Very truly yours,

KATHRYN BROADMAN Mrs. David Broadman.

EXCELSIOR, MINN., June 25, 1964. Hon. WAYNE E. MORSE,

U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

MY DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I just want to tell you how gratifying it was to hear your brave words on the "Today" program this morning. I agree with every word you said, and I only wish that your voice could reach the White House and the State Department.

Sending General Taylor as Ambassador to Vietnam is certainly not a step toward peace. Sincerely,

Mrs. Paul F. Kiesel.

WITHEE, WIS., June 25, 1964. Senator W. Morse,

U.S. Senate.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have just heard your wonderful talk on the "Today" program over NBC. I heartily agree that our boys should not be in Vietnam. A little 4-year-old said to me "if you vote for a Democrat it means war." How can we change that picture and idea? I have voted Democratic since F.D.B. and I would like to be proud of my barty but how when we do the things that DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have just heard party, but how when we do the things that you outlined in your talk. May you have the courage, money, and stamina to keep airing these views.

My heart is in this. I have a son leaving for Korea in July. Sincerely,

Mrs. Martin Keskimaki,

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH

Pittsburgh, Pa., June 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have a feeling that yours must be a bitter and lonely lot-now in the first aftermath of the Asian crisis and your sublime response to it. I put these few words on paper because I hope to dilute by an infinitesimal amount the abuse probably inflicted on you. I hope that you understand that at least in the academic communities that you are a prophet with honor. Also that yours is not the ephemeral kind that comes and goes with political campaigns. It is of the type that will be deeply engraven in the records of the history of curre times. our times. I do not and cannot speak for the existing guild of historians, but I have sufficient experience to know that if there is a future—better than radioactive debris, you will be recorded as one of its major achievers. You may be pleased to know that at least among my colleagues, each new crisis evoked by our insane stance leads us to say, almost instinctively that "Senator Mores will take a chard" ator Morse will take a stand."

I with that there was some simple, direct way to convey to you the admiration that I feel for your discharge of your high office. Were I a deeply religious person, it could be put as: "Thank God for WAYNE MORSE,"
As it is, there is only the statement, the historians will write your name in the lot Approved For Release 2005/01/05". CARDFORD 0403R002061560 Quarboody in your

of those who deserved the most of the countrymen.

Respectfully,

ROBERT G. COLODNY, Associate Professor, History.

> FORT WAYNE, IND., Jv ne 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

DEAR SIR: Heard part of your discussion of South Vietnam and southeast Asia and TV this morning in answer to questions by newscasters.

Most erudite, most reasonable, most log-ical, and factual discussion I have heard and the most authoritative devoid of emotionalism and politics.

It was also a brave explanation. You will be accused of everything and a lesser light might even be accused of aiding the Communists if he had said the things you

Also, your solutions are based on the Christian spirit of which our country boasts. I want to say that I wish we had more men and women fearless enough and intelligent enough and moral enough to fight the things in southeast Asia and our role there as you have done.

Sincerely,

JOHN CONLEY, M.D.

HINSDALE, ILL., June 25, 1964. Hon. Senator Morse.

Senate Office Building. Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Congratulations on your position and public statement relative to the Vietnam situation.

It is indeed disturbing in time of peace, in a country in which "only Congress shall declare war," to have our Communist riddled State Department "arrange the war," as I firmly believe they also did with Japan prior to Pearl Harbor.

Is China such a threat to Russia that our pink darlings feel that it is our duty to take them "off the hook," or are they afraid that if Russia became entangled with China, that the Red satellites may get an opportunity to free themselves?

For God's sake, do all possible to put the management of our country back in the hands of our representatives, and out of the hands of our damnable State Department.

Again, my thanks for men of your courage. Sincerely,

GEORGE H. REDIEHS.

Los Angeles, Calif. June 28, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: It was very good hearing you today on "Issues and Answers."

Thank you for representing the American people's side on the war in Vietnam.

With all good and kind wishes.

LUCILLE OSTON.

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate.

DEAR SIR: I would like to thank you for your courageous stand on South Vietnam. Most people that I know think it is a messy situation, but say they don't know enough to There is a newswrite or sign petitions. blackout here, and your views are not heard. Continue the good work.

Miss Charlotte Grant.

PITTSBURGH, PA.

and especially on the trouble in Vietnam.

I was alarmed when I read in the New York Times this morning that President Johnson said in Minneapolis that the United States, "when necessary," would not hesitate to "risk war" to preserve the peace.

In my opinion that would be no peace.

Thank you for the wonderful work you are doing in the Senate. I only wish there are doing in the Senate. I only wish there

were many more like you, Senator Hum-PHREY and Senator FULBRIGHT, and others. Very sincerely yours,

MISS HERTHA LINGG.

CHAS. A. FRANCIS.

PASSAIC, N.J.

ELIZABETH, N.J.,

No. 132-17

position has the "guts" to expound your philosophy of foreign policy even though many of your colleagues will not publicly approve of your comments and evaluations. certainly agree with practically everything you mentioned on both programs. I am not too sure that the United Nations is the complete solution, however, I feel it would be superior to the current U.S. unliateral military action in Vietnam and elsewhere, including the U.S. planes in Laos.

It is comforting to know that we share the ame opinions on the use of volunteers in McNamara's war, using SEATO allies in a peacekeeping role in Vietnam and the realization that the Vietnam puppets "we" set up are not as effective as the Nhu family. It was certainly a shame to see Madame Nhu's predictions about coups, etc., during her visit to the United States last year, become tragic realities several months later.

I am quite concerned about the outcome of the Far Eastern situation since I have very dear friends in the Philippines who occasionally travel in Thalland, Japan, etc. on U.N., Philippine Government and Philippine atomic energy matters. However, I repeat that I feel the U.S. actions are wrong and illegal.

Bincerely yours,

JAMES S. MCKARNS.

NEW ROCHELLE, N.Y., June 29, 1964.

Senator WATNE Morse: With General Taylor going to South Vietnam it can mean one thing only.

I would like to know why Americans are the only ones sent to Vietnam?

Why are not other countries trying to help out over there?

Generals want war to add to their glory, but the mothers, wives and sweethearts of the American men do not want war.

Can you do something to stop the warmongers from exploiting our men over there. e have lost too many men already.

Thank you for your consideration, J. MIMNAUGH.

JUNE 28, 1964.

Senator WAYNE Morse, Senior Senator of Oregon, Senate Building.

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR: It was with great interest DEAR SENATOR: It was with great interest that I listened to your statements on today's "Issues and Answers." I share your opinion concerning our actions in southeast Asia 100 percent and I hope that enough people will have listened to you so that they snap out of their blind indifference and complants. cency to tolerate the brinkmanship of the Pentagon.

I understand that the Senate has the right to vote for or against the appointment of an ambassador. Why then don't you show through your vote that you disapprove strongly this newest move of appointing the Chief of Staff of our military forces to an ambassadorship, a move which throughout the world can have only one meaning? I suppose that Senator FULBRIGHT has simillar

If you two and some other reasonable men who like you, watch with deep concern our slithering into a new war, would take an energetic stand maybe it could stop Mr. Johnson to put his trust so completely in Mr. McNamara.

Very sincerely yours, LOUIS F. LUCAS.

> GLENVIEW, ILL. June 28, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate,

maintained fracticidal staughter stopped:

DEAR SENATOR MONE Province Grow Rose 256 12005/04-05 metallicle of successful to the television program, "Issues and Answers," today. While we believe in the hideously burned by napalm supplied by the spelled out in the U.N. Charter. Washington, D.C. remarks on the television program, "Issues and Answers," today. While we believe in the

ideals of the Democratic Party and have long voted for its candidates, my family and I have been greatly disappointed in the policy being used in the Far East. We have had a part in and have seen what happens in war. especially since the Second World War, and we believe in and support as we can the United Nations with all its operations as our best hope for the world. We have never been able to understand why the United States has not tried the United Nations for the Far Eastern troubles. We hope that there is still a chance for President Johnson to change his course and try. Surely, at this point, he is carrying us more slowly than the Republicans would, but nevertheless, into war without trying the United Nations' machinery for keeping the peace.

Thank you again for your remarks today. I am writing our great Senator, PAUL H. Douglas, to this effect.

Respectfully yours,

RUTH M. FELTON Mrs. Ruth M. Felton.

JUNE 27, 1964.

HOD. WAYNE MORSE Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Among many other concerned Americans I am deeply grateful to you for your forthright questioning of our self-defeating un-American policies It is hard for me to undersoutheast Asia. stand how our Government can be so shortsighted as to believe that our prestige and influence in Asia can be increased by policies of a sort that were discredited even before World War II, and which—as you notehave been declared illegal under a variety of international agreements.

Has it ever occurred to you that by designating our regional alliances as specifically against "Communist" aggression and exagainst "Communist" aggression and ex-pansion that we have unconsciously repro-duced the pre-World War II Axis "anti-Comintern Pact"? The U.S. Government condemned this Axis Fact as designed to hide their own aggressive and expansionist aims. I very much fear that our own anti-Commu-nist pacts seem similarly aggressive and expansionist to many governments which reject communism for their own societies.

During the formation of the SEATO, for example, the Asian governments deplored the U.S. emphasis on military force to "contain communism" and urged policies for economic development.

I am venturing to enclose some letters to the Times I've written on this issue. Some have been published, some not. Our Government's distortions of the record are truly shocking. Please keep pressing the issue. We, the American people, seem increasingly to be pushed into the role of robot—rather than the informed electorate on which our democracy rests.

With appreciation.

Sincerely,

HELEN MEARS.

APRIL 17, 1964.

To the Editor of the New York Times: A Times' editorial (April 18), headed "Blood Sport" began: "A deranged youth steps out

on an Albany hotel ledge. Twelve stories below, crowds urge him to jump. Not to a net's safety. To death, What kind of people can become such a mob? How can adults yell 'chicken' and 'yellow' to another human dangling on the lip of danger?

Yes. But a more urgent question is how can some of our leading citizens cry chicken to our Government and urge it to carry out bombing attacks against North Vietnam?
How can our Government, in effect, say yellow to the Vietnamese who want a U.S.-maintained fratricidal slaughter stopped?

United States, and dropped from a U.S.-supplied plane, piloted by a young American. Many of our most respected citizens tell us we must continue such activities or lose prestige. Others calmiy debate whether a bombing attack against North Vietnam would be good or bad as an election gimmick.

The Times editorial concluded: "Disregard for another's life—by inertia or, worse, by goading—takes civilized people back to the cave. Does the attitude of that Albany mob bespeak a way of life for many Americans? If so, the bell tolls for all of us."

The Times says it better than I can, but it addresses its feeling of shock at the gnat. The lesser evil. It is the camel, national policy, that is truly frightening. If disregard for human life is ugly for the man-in-thestreet, it is infinitely uglier at the level of national policy. Whether consciously or un-consciously our attitudes are influenced by those of our Nation's leaders. Our leaders have chosen the caveman's club, rather than the civilized men's tools of negotiation, arbitration, conciliation. In Vietnam our whole nation is the mob. And the bell is tolling.

HELEN MEARS.

JUNE 15, 1964.

To the EDITOR of the NEW YORK TIMES:

In his column of June 3, C. L. Sulzberger declared that the SEATO alliance was no help in carrying out U.S. policy in Vietnam, and concluded that: "We must therefore face the problem of salvaging our Indochina crisis on the basis of what we consider right * * *.
In southeast Asia we must honor our commitments and respect our interest."

mitments and respect our interest."

Most Americans would surely agree with
Mr. Suizberger that the U.S. Government
should "honor our commitments." The difficuity is that by now the U.S. Government has
made so many directly conflicting commitments that it literally cannot be faithful to

all of them. The United States is committed, under the U.N. Charter, not to use force save in the common interest. No U.N. resolution has ever requested, or authorized, U.S. military aid in South Vietnam.

The U.S. Government was further committed by the Geneva Accord of 1954 not to send modern military equipment, not to send additional military advisers, and certainly not to send 16,000 "miscellaneous military, many of them to actual combat, disguised as 'advisers." Mr. Sulzberger actually quotes the late Secretary of State Dulles as telling him, in February 1955 that: "The Geneva. • • accord bars the importation into Indochina of new military aid. The United States of America can't increase the number of its military advisers."

The United States was, at least morally, committed to accept the result of a nationwide election to be held throughout Vietnam in 1956. The United States backed the decision of Ngo Dinh Diem not to hold the election.

The United States was committed to sup-port Diem as the "legally elected President of the Republic of Vietnam." When Diem was was overthrown by a military coup—with at least indirect encouragement from the United States, the United States at once committed itself to the new regime. When that regime was overthrown by another military coup the United States at once committed itself to it.

Increasingly since late 1960, the U.S. Government has committed itself to the war effort in South Vietnam. Of all the commitments this appears to be the one the U.S. Government chooses to honor,

Is a commitment to a war effort in Vietnam the sort of commitment Americans in general would choose to honor above prior com-

In a presidential election year, in a democacy, isn't this the sort of question that should be debated?

HELEN MEARS.

RUSK DISPUTED ON VIETNAM—GENEVA ACCORDS FORBID INDEPENDENT ENTITY IN SOUTH, WRITER STATES

To the EDITOR OF THE NEW YORK TIMES: In his press conference (the Times, Nov. 9)
Secretary of State Rusk, responding to a
question about Vietnam, said: "The other
side was fully committed—fully committed—
in the original Geneva settlement of 1954 to the arrangements, which provided for South Vietnam as an independent entity."

This is a puzzling statement. The record seems to prove that not only did the Geneva agreements of 1954 not provide for South Vietnam as an independent entity, but expressly forbade such a development. Article 6 of the final declaration says of Vietnam that "the military demarcation line should not in any way be interpreted as constituting a political or territorial boundary * * *." The 17th parallel was chosen merely as a cease-fire line to separate the opposing military forces.

The agreements specifically provided that The agreements specifically provided that Vietnam should be unified by nationwide elections in 1956. These facts are acknowledged in a State Department pamphlet "A Threat to the Peace," published in December 1961, which gives the U.S. official position about the Vietnam problem at that time. The pamphlet explains why the Diem government refused to carry out the agreement to hold the scheduled election, and why the U.S. Government backed Diem's position. The State Department wrote: "It was the The State Department wrote: "It was the Communists' calculation that nationwide elections scheduled in the accords for 1956 would turn all of Vietnam over to them."

It is profoundly disturbing to find our own

Government rewriting history. It is not easy to see how our Government can encourage adherence to international agreements by ignoring them.

HELEN MEARS. NEW YORK, November 11, 1963.

VIETNAM WITHDRAWAL URGED-EVENTS BE-LIEVED TO INDICATE NEITHER LEADERS NOR PEOPLE WANT OUR HELP

TO THE EDITOR OF THE NEW YORK TIMES: Reporting to the House Armed Services Committee on January 27, Secretary of De-

fense McNamara said:
"In the case of South Vietnam our help is clearly wanted, and we are deeply engaged in supporting the Vietnamese Government and people in their war against the Communist Vietcong.

Surely Secretary McNamara meant to say "our help is clearly not wanted," for almost as he was speaking the Government which presumably wanted "our help" to keep fighting was overthrown by another military coup, and the Times reported this event in head-lines which read: "Vietnam Junta Ousted by

Military Dissidents Who Fear 'Neutralism.'"
In other words, the generals whom our Government supported in their coup to replace the Diem government which was be-ginning to "firt with neutralism" began in turn to incline toward the same policy. If anything seems "clear" in this grim situation it is that our Government is finding it increasingly difficult to find even military leaders who "clearly want our help" to continue their fratricidal strife.

As for the Vietnamese people, it has never been their war. If reports in the Times (and our newsweeklies) have made anything clear, it is that the Vietnamese people have supported the war so little that a ruthless policy of forcing them into fortified villages was introduced to prevent them from helpting the guerrilla fighters. And the so-called Vietnam 0137/19105 TO MORPH 66800403R090200150009-3

or pro-Communist, but they are unquestionably Vietnamese.

LACK OF OUTCRY

The situation in Vietnam is so unworthy of us that the apparent lack of popular outcry against it suggests a condition of indifference and moral callousness few of us would have believed possible only a few years ago. It is this growing apathy and callousness that is the true enemy of the values we hold dear."

The U.S. Government should at once present the problem of Vietnam to the U.N. Security Council, and should withdraw our military advisers and stop providing millions

of dollars a day to keep a war going.

If our leadership means only destruction and death for the people who live in distant areas our commentators call "strategic real estate," our Nation will go down in history as just another rampaging great power, self-convinced that our might makes right. And it will not be the Communists who will have betrayed us. It will have been our-

HELEN MEARS. NEW YORK, January 31, 1964.

> AFRICAN-AMERICAN HERITAGE ASSOCIATION. Chicago, Ill., June 24, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate. Washington, D.C.

HONORABLE SIR: Our association has directed that we commend you on your firm and critical stand against the policies of our Government in Vietnam and southeast Asia. Our organization associates itself with you in the proposition (1) that the role of our Government played in the South Vietnamese situation endangers world peace and the whole of mankind itself; (2) should be im-mediately referred to the United Nations.

Moreover, Sir, we think it strange that the Government of the United States can involve itself in police action for "freedom in South Vietnam" and cannot involve itself in Mississippi and the Southern States with police action to preserve freedom, the Bill of Rights, and the right of every citizen to vote. We are certain that Afro-Americans, people of African descent, colonial peoples everywhere look with grave misgivings on this strange contradiction. We are certain that more and more democratic-minded people everywhere will question the role of our Government and the American people as represent-ing a nation devoted to preserving freedom and democracy in the world.

A copy of this letter in suport of your views is being forwarded to the President of the United States and to the Representatives in Congress. We urge you to hold fast and endure to the end that our Nation and its people not become the perpetrators of a world thermonuclear war.

Yours truly,

ISHMAEL FLORY, Director of Organization.

(Cc: Lyndon Johnson, President of the United States, Washington, D.C., Robert F. Kennedy, Attorney General of the United States, Washington, D.C.; Senators Faul Douglas and Everett Dirksen; Congressmen William L. Dawson, Charles Diggs, Augustus Hawkins, Robert Nix, Adam Powell.)

JUNE 25, 1964. DEAR SIR: Heard you on the "Today" show this morning. You voice the stand that I have thought we should take. What can we do to stop the present program? we big enough to say we made a mistake? E.J.D.

NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CALIF. DEAR SIR: How wonderful your stand on more Americans to know the truth about this.

MISS SAKT DIKRAN

NEW YORK CITY, N.Y. Thank God for Senators like you and the work you are doing toward stopping the horrible war in Vietnam.

Mrs. ESTHER METLIZ.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: At a meeting of 100 women held at Mapleton Park Synagogue, Brooklyn, on June 25, 1964, it was firmly stated that we agree wholeheartedly with your stand on Vietnam. We should never have gone there. We urge your further demonstration of good will to bring our boys home and negotiate a peace through the U.N.

Mrs. R. GOLDHEIME.

GLENDALE, CALIF., June 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR: You were great on Today NBC program subject of the President's private war in southeast Asia. But many think the king can do wrong, another F.D.R. image and look where its got us?

You should have been a Republican—yeswe shoot at Communists over there and coddle them here at home. Speak at colleges,

Yours truly,

H. BANKY.

JUNE 24, 1964. DEAR SENATOR: I agree with everything you said about our policy in Asia, on the Today" show.

Glad to hear you express your opinion. We need more like you in the Government. Hope others who agree with you, will write you. As I am sure you will hear from the GOLDWATER followers, and Pentagon friends. Keep talking. Very truly,

Mrs. Milton H. Rogers.

OAK PARK, MICH.

DEAR SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: We agree with you that President Johnson has no power to make or declare war in Vietnam but only Congress has.

We heard you on the "Today" program, June 25, 1964, and thank you for telling us the best way to settle the Vietnam situation is in the United Nations as I and most American people I am sure agree. We want peace and not men killed—that is what the United Nations is for.

MARY and Sol Hammerstein.

DEAR SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: Thank you for expressing the truth on the "Today" program on TV channel 4, on June 25, 1964. It is indeed unconstitutional to have a President of United States make war or declare war instead of Congress. We do not want war and have American boys killed in Vietnam. We want things settled peacefully in the United Nations,

Thank you,

Mr. and Mrs. ABE GOLDBERG.

DEAR SENATOR: We listened to your brilliant speech on South Vietnam on "Today" TV. It is refreshing to have a Democrat pick flaws in the present administration's policy with concrete knowledge of our Constitution. We don't want another Korea, or Bay of Pigs mistake.

If we are at war let Congress declare it. Am proud that our 18-year-old son enlisted in World War II—as did his father in World War I-both after Congress declared war.

We try to help other countries, yet are blind to our own small civil war.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: It was good to hear your strong voice in opposition to the Vietnam war. I have been disturbed over it from the beginning and feel powerless to do anything about it. I agree thoroughly with you.

Sincerely,

SARA LOWREY.

DEAE SENATOR MORSE: Keep up your vehement protest against stepped-up, undeclared dirty war in Vietnam where American casu-

alty list enlarges daily.
Show up the wickedness of a statement from some underling in authority in Washington that no protection assured to those brave young people helping to register in Mississippi, followed that day or next by total disappearance of three heroic young people. Place Army where needed.

Admiringly yours,

A. C. DART.

JUNE 24, 1964. DEAR SENATOR: Confucius said the first step toward peace and order is "to rectify the names"—to call things for what they are—a spade a spade, a thief a thief, etc.

we are greatly encouraged by your boldness in calling a spade a spade and warmongering war-mongering. For the future your country and the future of mankind, we hope you will continue to do it just as you are doing. We are greatly encouraged by your bold-

Our sincerest support for your effort.
Dr. and Mrs. Harold Bass.

FRIDAY, JUNE 26, 1964.
We are backing your stand to get out of Vietnam. Keep the good work up. Good luck and best wishes.

TUCSON, ARES.

Benator WAYNE MORSE Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAE SENATOR MOESE: My congratulations to you for the courage you have shown in speaking out on the situation in Vietnam. Sincerely yours,

LORETTA SMITH.

JUNE 25.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I deeply appreciated your thought-provoking statements on TV this morning and am thoroughly in accord with them. Many women in Maryland will agree with you.

LAURA C. A. LA FOE.

TACOMA, WASH., June 24, 1964. DEAR SENATOR: Cheers for your statement re U.S. position as the world's No. 1 warmonger.

The recent statements made by the administration regarding increasing the war is quite frightening. I urge you to do all you can to influence more Senators to your posi-

YVONNE BRAUNE.

TACOMA, WASH.

Benator WAYNE MORSE: I congratulate you on the courage and good sense you have shown on the Vietnam situation.

I fear though that there will not be enough to take the stand you have taken.

You have never done, in my opinion, what was expedient but dared to do what you thought was right.

MARK H. ANDERSON.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We heard you on the "Today" program this morning and we think you are 100 percent right and under God, why we the people are sitting idly by, I do not understand.

Please make a speech on TV and rally the people behind you. Another mistake Johnson made is appointing Earl Warren to head the committee on the President's death and now sending Dulles to Mississippi.

Mr. and Mrs. HENDRIK.

LUBBOCK, TEX.

DEAR SENATOR: We fully agree with you in stating that the United States should stay out of Vietnam and to try and prevent war and leave the problem up to the United Na-tions. Our community are all for your statements.

THE 85 CLUB

GLOVERSVILLE, N.Y., June 25, 1964.

Senator Wayne Mosse,
Congress, Washington, D.C.
This morning I heard you on channel 8
about 8 a.m. Your voice was expressed truthfully against our policy in Asia. I think I should rush to tell you I support you 100 percent. I am going to send a card to the President and tell him I support you and hope he changes the policy to give the U.N. the case

Sinceraly.

B. R. NESTLE.

CLAREMONT, CALIF., June 25, 1964. Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Building,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SE: I lived in Pelping 1916-40. I heard you on "Today." We sure agree with you 100 percent. Keep talking and good luck.
FLORA WILSON.

PALO ALTO, CALIF., June 23, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I support your efforts to have United States find new approaches to southeast Asia problems—and I support you in your opposition to unilateral military power that short-circuits the United Nations or other international means for peaceful, creative solution to these problems.

Sincerely.

OLIVER HENDERSON., M.D.

STOCKTON, CALIF., June 25, 1964.

Benator Wayne Mosse, Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

Siz: Congratulations on your Vietnam

What can we do to help you?

WILDA HUFFMAN,

California State Democratic Central Committee, President, Democratic Women's Club.

JUNE 28, 1984.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We support and commend your position regarding our involvement in Vietnam. It is time more of us expressed our opinions on this vital issue. We feel sure that many other Americans think as you do.

Sincerely, Mr. and Mrs. Paul Kerser.

ALVIN, TEX.

DEAR MR. Morse: Thank you for speaking for peace. I have wondered for a long time what my boys and others could do about LAMONI, IOWA.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your "Today" ap-DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your "Today" appearance was good. You presented our case well. I agree with all you said, sir. And I urge you to continue saying it with the same clarity and reason and restraint and hard-hitting truth and patriotism that you showed in your "Today" appearance.

Perhaps the policies in Asia are devices to last through election; but the danger is

that they will get out of hand, or into the wrong hands—and we may not be able to stem the tide. Even, without that, to play politics with the lives of men seems very wrong. But it is probably no bluff—and we need your voice—and that of other good liberals or we may well lose the respect of the world.

> Truly, and with great thanks, GLADYS MARTIN.

> > WEST PALM BEACH, FLA.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

Washington, D.C.

HONORABLE SIR: Heard you this morning over the "Today" program. Very thankful we have a Senator like you. I am very much in agreement with your convictions. And the average U.S. citizen is also, I believe. The layman is discussing, and seriously, politics, these days and the present adminis-tration would be surprised at the amount of commonsense he has

I am neither a Democrat or from Oregon, but heartiyl endorse your standpoint on this saue (Vietnam). (Vietnam, .

Respectfully yours,

Mrs. Grace Cloer.

DEAR SIE: I'm glad to hear your views on Vietnam on TV. I agree with you. I've lived in Japan for 2 years, the news there presents only the views of the administration, yet I've only talked to one person who believed we ought to be in Vietnam. I would like to hear interviews of the common soldier, both for and against the war in Vietnam. I've heard them, but never see them in print.

Mrs. D. R. NICHOLS.

JUNE 25, 1984.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I heard that you will oppose confirmation of General Taylor as Ambassador to Vietnam. Thank God for a few like you.

I asked Senator Harr to send me the

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD just so I can follow what you and your friends are saying about Laos and Vietnam. I told him why, too.

Yours respectfully,

Mrs. MILDRED FRANKLIN.

DEAR SIR: You are the only one with any sense in Washington. I hope they put all the warmongers in the firing lines in Asia, as the rest of us people don't care who rules Asia. We have enough to take care of our own troubles, and so has all other people of the world no matter who rules them, and for God's sake we would like to know the truth from the State and Defense Departments for a change before we lose all the faith in our Government.

Appreciate your outspokenness on stopping the terrible war in Vietnam. No more American boys must be killed there.

MIS. B. RAIND.

BURT. MICH.

DEAR SIR: Keep up the good work. I wish you luck in stopping Maxwell Taylor from becoming Ambassador to that terrible jungle mudhole called South Vietnam. We Americans, including little Caroline and John do not want a nuclear devastation war Hon. Wayne Morse,

U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir: I want to express my appreciation for your stand regarding the involvement of the United Steap proved Pearle | East 2007/01/05 : CIA-RDP66B004 | C

I. MARTIN.

Mrs. J. E. Burge.

DEAR WAYNE MORSE: Hearty approval from us and many of our friends for your stand against U.S. war in Vietnam (we have just heard your brilliant presentation on "Today" show). Somebody has got to stand for sanity—keep it up.
BEN and DAISYLEE FUSON. · "雅"

LOUDONVILLE, N.Y.
Sin: On "Today," June 25, you spoke the truth. It had never appeared to us in that light. We trust you will continue to press and stress your convictions. Mrs. Robert S. Hart.

JUNE 25, 1964.

Dear Senator Morse: I heard your interview on the "Today" program and only wish, as a mother of two sons (volunteers, one Army, one Air Force) that we had more good men like you representing our Government and interests.

A MOTHER OF TWO SERVICEMEN.

Honorable Morse: You are absolutely right about the Asian situation. I have been concerned about our policy there for a long

Please keep talking and attempt to get the people here to protest. M. F. FRICHSER.

JUNE 24, 1964. SENATOR MORSE: When you speak out for us, for our sons, you revive our hopes, bolster our faith, help us believe that somehow, our faith, help us believe that somehow, somehow simple sanity will prevent our Government from forcing our finest young men into a hell that would be even worse than Kores. Do those who would yote for a horror of this kind have no sons, no grandsons? Have they become bloodless, heartless, conscienceless? I say, let them go and face the misery, degradation, abuse, torture—that has been the lot of our boys who were sent to fight battles for people who would not fight for themselves. Yours may seem a lone voice, Senator Morse, but the people are listening. Would to God those in people are listening. Would to God those in power were before it's too late. We honor and respect you because you speak out for us—and say what we believe.

Los Angeles, Calif., June 24, 1964

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank you for your opposition to our asinine policy on Vietnam. Your voice is like a bit of fresh air coming out of Washington these days.

Yours truly,

Norman Jensen.

JUNE 27, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR: I am one of many citi-zens grateful that you are making every effort to preserve the good image of our country. I have been in the Far East and southeast Asia and know how "we" are hated. Your stand on the Vietnam situation has my wholehearted support.

HELEN BLAIR.

Los Angeles,

June 26, 1964.
Senator Waxne Mosse: Your stand on the situation in Vietnam is courageous and expresses the feeling of most of my friends and myself. Keep up your good work.
RUBY ROSENTHAL,

7.826 DEAR SENATOR: It seems that the South Vietnam government are unpopular with its citizenery, and that our soldier advisers are getting themselves killed, apparently they are being killed in warfare instead of tis citizenery, and that our soldier advisers are getting themselves killed, apparently senate Office Building, gradually conditioned for it, well, this time we are going to stay hard safely acting as advised poroved For Release v2005/04/05n; WAAR DF66800403 F0002004 5000905 we must honor our are behind you—keep pressure on.

Respectfully,

ALLEN KENDEL.

Senator Wayne Morse, gradually conditioned for it.

Well, this time we are going to stay hard conditioned for it.

Well, this time we are going to stay hard safely acting as advised poroved For Release v2005/04/05n; WAAR DF66800403 F0002004 5000200

JUNE 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR: We heard your interview the "Today" show this morning and thoroughly agree with everything you said. We have followed your career with a great deal of interest through the years and only regret that we are unable to vote for you.

We wish to thank you for representing our

views in the Senate.

EDNA and ARNOLD KLEIN, BELLEVUE, ILL.

SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: I listened to your interview on the "Todey" show. I congratulate you for your "unpopular posttion" of disagreement with this administration's position on Vietnam. I also feel

this matter should be in the United Nations hands. Please pursue this standpointmany American agree, I am sure. You were very forceful on the interview.

TACOMA, WASH., June 23, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR: By some happy miracle the TV carried your toxin alarm. Please take this to the U.N. The Congress has plainly abdicated its power to declare war or peace PAUL BROWNE.

> HOUSTON, TEX. June 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR: Many times I do not agree with your ideas. But I do want to give you a big pat on the back for your feelings and for your courageous statements as expressed when you appeared on Today's program this a.m. Too many acts have been by Executive

> LOUIS B. ARMBRECHT. A Legionnaire and a 40 et 8'er.

NEW YORK, N.Y. I support your stand on our getting out of South Vietnam which would save the world from a dangerous war.

Mrs. F. ELKINS.

CALDWELL, N.J., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Building, Washington, D.C.:

We wish to commend you for your speech on South Vietnam on "Todays Show" June 25. Keep fighting for public interest because it is the only way to bring attention to our representatives in Congress as to the dire needs of men dying in a disorganized war of the rice paddy in South Vietnam. You have the heart of the American Eagle. Very truly yours,

THOMAS J. MOONEY, Jr. JAMES PHILLIPS.

> TOPANGA, CALIF., June 27, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

We support your position regarding southeast Asia. Keep up good work.

IAN and JANE THIERMANN.

BROOKLYN, N.Y. June 28, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

Congratulations to your brilliantly spoken on issues and answers.

MORRIS BERKOWITZ

DETROIT, MICH., June 26, 1964.

EDINBURG, TEX.: June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

Your statement on TV "Today Show" Wednesday was most courageous and genuinely American. We need more national integrity and less gun-boat diplomacy in our foreign policy. Congratulations.

ANDREW OSTRUM.

LOMITA, CALIF.

DEAR SENATOR: I heard you on "Meet the Press." And I was so happy when I heard you being opposed to our fighting in Viet-

All my life I've been a Democrat. But why must our party always get into a war? (Ike stopped Korea.) But here we're in another.

Yours sincerely.

E. O. ROGETAD.

PASADENA, CALIF., June 26, 1964.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I am heartily in accord with your condemnation of the present policy of the U.S.A. in South Vietnam.

I have used your suggestions which appeared in our daily newspaper in a letter to our President.

I am hopeful that the State Department will change its policy before more blood is spilled for our own selfish purposes.

Very truly yours,

Miss Grace M. Lealtad.

Morrison Hotel, Chicago.

Mr. Morse: Congratulations on your views as distributed into the public domain on "Issues and Answers."

You are right. The United States of America has too long violated the Geneva Charter. Red China can be "mellowed." But not if we continue to be an aggressor in southeast

TONY LAVELLI.

ANN ARBOR, MICH., June 27, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: The enclosed letter which appeared in the Ann Arbor News of June 26, should be of interest to you. We have reason to believe that a number of Ann Arbor women share our uneasiness about the course our country seems to be taking in southeast Asia.

Sincerely,

ELIZABETH CONVERSE.

HEADED FOR WAR IN ASIA?

EDITOR, THE NEWS:

Last Tuesday President Johnson said, "War is obsolete because there can be no winner."
On the same day, Senator Morse warned, "The United States is headed for war in Asia and will be hated for the next 500 years by

the majority of mankind."

Reading the news these past weeks has given us the uneasy feeling that we, along with the rest of the American public, were being carefully prepared for a new U.S. policy in southeast Asia. The "new look" has been unfolded in a steady flow of announcements: redeployment of service personnel to more strategic bases; new military shipments (oh, just routine replacements of wornout equipment); then the sudden emergency—full-blown—of a jet air base just south of the 17th parallel in South Vietnam; and now the appointment of a military authority on guerrilla fighting as our Ambassador in Saigon, when a civilian with U.N. experience withdrew. We gather that the administra-tion expected us and many others to be hard

mann has called the commitment a blunder. Now the United States is "honoring" this commitment (1) by increasing it and (2) by violating other commitments. Our country is publicly committed to leadership in the world's quest for peaceful solutions of comworld's quest for peacerul solutions of com-plex problems. Our new southeast Asia pol-icy violates this high commitment. Our new jet base in South Vietnam clearly violates the Geneva agreement of 1954. Th's easier to build a military base in a

foreign country than to withdraw it once it's there. Khrushchev withdrew his missiles from Cuba but we still have Guantanamo and have sworn to hold on to it. Now it seems we've established a little bit of "American" territory in South Vietnam and will be asked to defend it to the death. Ah, whose death? Who and how many will die for that aircraft base in Vietnam, built with our tax dollars and without our knowledge?

We appear to be proceeding in disregard of the honest reservations of our allies and of Senator Morse's "majority of mankind" to come. In so doing, do we exhibit an unseemly arrogance which closes doors we can ill afford to close in a world where "war is obsolete?"

GAIL W. KELLUM. ELIZABETH CONVERSE.

KENNEBUNK, MAINE. June 25, 1964.

Hon, WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your conviction, expressed on the NBC "Today" program this morning, that the whole question of Vietnam and the rest of southeast Asia should be taken to the United Nations for decision meets with my hearty approval.

I, as only one individual, and a woman at

that, have long felt that we were conducting a war illegally without the voted approval of Congress.

We cannot talk of peace out of one side of our mouths, and conduct an illegal war with justification, out of the other side.

Like many others (I presume), I feel frustrated, listening and reading day after day about all these many problems that face humans all over the world, and not being able to do anything about them.

If there is any way that I can support you in your efforts to have the U.S. Govern-ment take this southeast Asia problem to the U.N. for action, instead of the United States of America drifting into a unilateral war with Communist China, I'd be glad to do my bit.

Sincerely.

MARION E, COLE.

CHICAGO, DA., June 28, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: After hearing your views expressed on the program "Issues and Answers" today, I must agree with your pro-posal to let the U.N. solve the Vietnam crisis. Sincerely,

PATRICIA M. CONDON.

LYNCHBURG, VA., June 29, 1964.

Benator WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

Dear Senatos Mosse: I heard you last night on "Issues and Answers." I had won-dered why we were not working through United Nations in Vietnam. Why aren't we? I agree with you we should, and I hope we do. Sincerely.

Miss Elsis W. Gilliam.

MANHATTAN BEACH, CALIF.

Dean Rusk taking issue with them with the

present U.S. policy in Vietnam and Lace. I have little understanding of our unflateral policy of force there for issues which seem political and not military ones. I too, counsel the President to use his policy of settling problems with words of reason rather than actions of force and destruction.

Frankly, I am filled with fear that this little dirty war will be escalated to a big dirty war. I hope you will continue to speak out loud and often as you see this issue.

If there is anything further you feel I can do, I shall be most happy to give it consideration.

Sincerely yours,

MANUEL SINCEL.

WASHINGTON, D.C. June 29, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

DEAR SENATOR: For several weeks I have been following your statements on Vietnam, and the President's handling of the war there, with great surprise.

I really didn't think any Member of the Senate had the political courage that you show. But in the long run I think you may find that the majority of the American people would support your view over the administration view on Vietnam if presented the issues and allowed to choose.

Certainly I agree with you completely and

urge you to keep on. You set an example for other Members of the Senate and, I hope, may keep us from some hideous consequence.

Best wishes.

GEORGE SPENCER.

CHICAGO, June 28, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I watched the interview you gave this afternoon over ABC and I was gratified to see that there are atili responsible people that are sane.

I am a foreign student from Greece. I am a bit at ease because I know that "your

man from Washington" will have a landslide. would be more than honored if I had the chance to shake your hand. I am certain that if you will happen to visit Chicago, I will not miss the opportunity to do so.

Respectfully yours,

JOHN PAVLIDIS.

LINDSBORG, KANS. June 29, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Washington, D.C.:

Congratulations on your splendid tele-vision interview yesterday. Your presenta-tion was lucid and convincing. Keep plugging.

Mrs. Charles P. Greenough.

TENAHA, TEX., June 25, 1964.

Senator Wayne Morse.

Drag Sm: We just saw and heard you on the "Today" program, and we just must write and tell you how vary much we agree with you, I do hope you continue to tell the peo-ple what it will mean if we do get into a war, I wish everyone in the United States could hear you.

Congratulations again and please keep it up.

Respectfully,

Mr. and Mrs. MARION STONE.

BETHESDA, MD., June 29, 1964.

President Lyndon B. Johnson, The White House,

Washington, D.C. DEAR PRESIDENT JOHNSON: In your address in Minneapolis you renewed the pledge to protect the freedom of South Vietnam and

We do not believe that our foreign policy should be determined by a popularity poll but it is evident that there is no enthusiasm for escalating the civil war in South Vietnam into an international conflict. We agree with your remarks praising the United Na-tions and so we ask, "Why that organization has not been used in the present conflict?"
Is the present government any more than the Diem regime one of the peoples' own choice?

We are not experts but it seems to make We are not experts but it seems to make good sense to us to ask for a plebiscite of South and North Vietnam under U.N. auspices and with all foreign troops, including our own, withdrawn. If we cannot win, we might achieve neutrality at least.

This course should remove the implication that under the guise of "protection" we are helping to impose an unwelcome government on the records of South Vietnem and

ment on the people of South Vietnam and taking sides in a civil war. It should further your quest for peace, and still retain our honor.

Sincerely yours,

L. D. and ALICE MACINTYRE.

HYATTSVILLE, MD., June 28, 1984.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: After listening to "Issues and Answers" I had to write to you to say that I am completely in accord with your views on the war in Vietnam. I have been increasingly more disturbed about our policies in southeast Asia. I feel that we do have a responsibility to the world to advance democracy and freedom. However, I also feel we have no right to make war. When we adopt Communist tactics we have already lost because our goal is for people to choose freely. Freedom cannot should not be advanced by U.S. force,

Cuba is a glaring example of our setting up a dictator in the name of democracy and how it has ended is not what our leaders

Please keep up your plea to keep us fighting for peace and for a strong United Na-

Sincerely,

BONNIE L. WALKER. Democrat From Maryland.

LOS ANGELES, CALIF. June 28, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senior Senator from Oregon, U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: Allow me to join those who heartily endorse your stand on our Gov-ernment's attitude toward the situation in southeast Asia.

Sincerely yours,

HAMILTON S. CLOUD, D.D.S.

JUNE 9, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Please send me your speeches and whatever else you can on Vietnam. I have been guardedly speaking to friends and writing my representatives urging a review of our policy there. You might say that I have lacked the courage to come right out and condemn the role our Government is playing. I appreciate all the more your forthrightness.

Now I am really ready to speak out, and keep speaking out. I don't care what my neighbors may think. One stands the chance of being called a traitor, and all the rest, but it now seems abundantly clear to me that my country's best interests, and our national honor, depend upon us coming to our senses. To continue as we have is to morally

Senator Wayne Morse, to use the force necessary to that end. HowSenate Building, Washington Med For Released 2005/144905 listeners properties 40 300 hazard shift in the listeners of the force necessary to that end. Howdisgrace ourselves.

Senator Wayne Morse, to use the force necessary to that end. Howdisgrace ourselves.

It can get. It
Today I wrote to President Johnson and for your pledge to continue your quest for its dimediat to plede the news together—the omissions are so grose, however, that the

phrase "news management" seems wholly justified. Your speeches are weakly re ported even in the New York Times. S please send me what you can even though I am not your constituent.

Most sincerely,

EDWARD CRAWFORD.

NEW YORK, N.Y.

MEMPHIS, TENN., June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.
DEAR SIR: I just listened to you speak on Vietnam on the "Today" show, and you gave me heart. You said many things that badly need saying. Please continue your light. What you are saying is vastly important, but just as important is that someone is saying it. We in this country. I maintain are not it. We in this country, I maintain, are not inherently aggressive and impractical, but we are unbelievably ignorant, especially where our relationship to and standing in the world are concerned. Thank you for the forthright expression of views—and facts—which will perhaps reduce this ignorance. Sincerely,

Mrs. George D. Dayis.

Washington, D.C., June 12, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR: I greatly admire the courage you have shown in your outspoken attitude toward the bloody mess in South Vietnam, I think that your position, more than that of any other prominent American, will be vindicated when all the facts are known.

As a young man of draft age it would provoke a crisis of conscience if I were conscripted to fight in a war so senselessly brutal and self-defeating. Sincerely,

ROBERT H. BATEMAN, Jr. P.S.—I would appreciate receiving a printed copy of each of your speeches on the Vietnam problem.

International Longshoremen's & WAREHOUSEMEN'S UNION, LOCAL 14, Eureka, Calif., May 26, 1964. Hon. Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Hon. Senator Wayne Morse, Senate Building, Washington, D.C.
Dear Sir.; Our membership at their regular meeting of May 20, 1964, voted to commend you and Senator Gruening, of Alaska, for your courageous injection of truth and reayour courageous injection of truth and rea-son into the foreign policy debate. We feel we are being sucked into a full-scale war in South Vietnam without all the facts being told the people. We would like to know what the State Department calls a "consti-tutional government" when in South Viet-nam our soldiers are dying to protect what nam our soldiers are dying to protect what they call a constitutional government, while in Brazil an elected constitutional govern-ment was overthrown and these same people fell all over themselves to congratulate the "brass" there for their success in the over-throwing of the government there.

Would you kindly send us a copy of your speeches on South Vietnam and on foreign pollov?

policy?

Sincerely yours, O. L. DEARINGER,
N.C.D.C. Representative,
11.W.U. Local 14.

La Habra, Calif., June 11, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

timely. You seem to be the only one talk-

ing any sense on this critical issue in pub-lic, although I think there is a lot of public sympathy for making a realistic settlement in southeast Asia.

I would very much appreciate receiving copies of previous or future speeches by you on this subject.

Sincerely yours,

WILLIAM T. HOLSER.

JUNE 9, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I am sorry there are not more politicians to speak with your realism and courage. I would feel we had a much safer world and better future for our country and its people.

Is it possible to send me a copy of the speech you made in the Senate, Thursday, June 4, with reference to the southeast Asian situation? What can those of us who feel you speak for us, do?

Thank you.

Mrs. ROBERT SHERMAN.

LOS ANGELES, CALIF.,

June 16, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have read some of your recent speeches in the Senate on our foreign policy and must express my hearty agreement. Your forthright stand is courageous beyond words and must eventually influence others to look at the world more objectively.
Sincerely yours,

EARL BUDIN, M.D.

UNIONTOWN, OHIO. June 19, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Congress of the United States,

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I am writing to you to tell you that I am wholeheartedly in agreement with your views on our involvement in southeast Asia. Though I am a college instructor and a scientist, I have have an active interest in politics and world affairs. I be-lieve that the United States should get off the southeast Asia mainland altogether—including both Laos and South Vietnam. The State Department should realize that the United States cannot win a war on the mainland of Asia. Is this President Johnson's ides of prosperity—an artificially created prosperity based on building war materials for a war in Asia? If the President doesn't soon get us disentangled from that mess, he will not get my vote in November, even though I agree with his domestic policies otherwise.

Keep up the good work. Sincerely,

CONRAD GUTERMUTH.

Dear Senator Morse: Anyone who has had the experience of standing in the lonely trenches of fighting for what conscience dictates can only commend you and Senator GRUENING for the splendor of your courage.

Anyone who has read the whole spectrum of thought involved in this southeast Asia problem can only come out in one place in your corner.

MARIE HITCHEN. WILMINGTON, DEL., June 19, 1964.

JUNE 14, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I wish to thank you for your heroic stand you have taken in the Senate, especially in regard to stopping the war in Vietnam. I do hope more and more Congressmen will follow your humane and democratic ideas.

Yours respectively,

MIS, BETSY DAVENPORT.

DEAR SENATOR: I want to give you my sin-Dear Servators: The brief news reports I cere congratulations for your stands in the hear of your speeches because the past few members of your speeches because the past few members of your speeches because the past of your speeches because the your sp needed in most phases of our foreign policy.

Senator Fulbright and yourself have certainly been the mainstays for any attempt to overhaul this country's stands.

Sincerely,

MEYER FASMAN.

NORTH PLATTE, NEBR., June 19, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR: Please vote to cut the foreign aid bill deeply. Let the people vote on it and we would do away with it entirely. No reason in the world why we should sup-

port the rest of the world. Thank you.
W. W. Kelly.
P.S.—It has not made us any friends and note the "go home Yank" after taking our money.

JUNE 18, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Again, let me tell you how very much I appreciate your efforts to disengage our military in southeast Asia.

I am enclosing our local and current effort to help you (and our "Nation under God.").

With sincere encouragement.

Lois D. WARREN. This enclosure is going to each member of Foreign Relations Committee of the Sen-

Washington Post, March 29, 1964: "Two recent South Vietnamese napalm bombings, which fell into the brutal category, have grieviously hurt the U.S. image throughout the world. One was widely dramatized by the publication of an Associated Press photograph on March 20 which showed a child in father's arm, badly burned by airlaunched, jellied, gasoline bombs which had fired a Vietnamese village * * * Publication of the AP photo resulted in the (U.S.) Defense Department's acknowledging for the first time that napalm has been furnished South Vietnam—under the military aid program, and that it has been dropped by Viet-namese planes provided by U.S. assistance

DO YOU APPROVE?

Senator WAYNE Morse, Oregon, March 25, 1964: "We should never have gone in there. We should not have stayed in. We should get out now."-

Senator Ernest Gruening, Alaska: "The time has come to reverse our policy of understanding to defend areas such as South Vietnam * * * A return of troops to our own shores should begin."

Senator Mike Mansfield, Montana: "There has not been and there does not exist today a basis in our national interest which would justify the assumption of primary American responsibility in this situation which might well involve the sacrifice of a vast number of American lives * * *"

Senator Wayne Morse, Oregon, March 30, 1964: "By what right did the Secretary of Defense go over to South Vietnam and pledge U.S. support for a 'thousand years, if necessary, in behalf of the American people. He had no such right. The American people should answer him in no uncertain terms."

Whittier Peace Council recommends immediate withdrawal of all American forces from southeast Asia and urges settlement of the war by the 14-nation Geneva Conference of 1962 under the auspices of the United Nations.

Write President Johnson, Senator Kuchel, Senator Engle today.

This message is brought to you by the Whittier Peace Council and the following groups: Whittier Chapter American Association for the United Nations, Peace and Serv-3R90020345060 9r ands Church, Whit-leaf Monthly Meeting of Friends, Whittier Friends Unprogramed Meeting, Whittier Area Women Strike for Peace, Whittler La

Mirada Unitarian Fellowship.

For further information—or to help de-fray cost of this ad—please send requests and contributions to: Whittier Peace Council. 821 East Sunrise Drive, Whittier, Calif.

TAKOMA PARK, MD., June 20, 1964.

President Lyndon B. Johnson,

White House, Washington, D.C.

Dear President Johnson: We are gravely concerned with the situation in Vietnam. There is considerable feeling that we had no right to intervene in the first place, and our apparent plans to escalate the fighting there could develop into a war with China. Several Senators, such as Senator WAYNE Mosse, have made serious suggestions for negotiating a peace in Vietnam and withdraw our troops. We urgently ask you to consider their proposals, stop the fighting, and begin negotiating immediately before it is too late.

Sincerely,
Dr. and Mrs. Rex V. Naylor.

SEATTLE, WASH.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: I'm with you in your campaign to get U.S. troops recalled from Vietnam. This is a senseless war, in which we have no business.

Thanks for speaking out.

Sincerely yours,

(Miss) ELIZABETH YATES.

JUNE 20, 1964.

KENDALL, PLA., June 18, 1964.

Senator WAYNE Mosse,

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I view as most distressing the "feelers" appearing through-out our news media citing the need for stepped-up military action in southeast Asia. If, as I believe, these are designed to test public response to such an eventuality. I feel I would be derelict in my duty as a citizen if I failed to express my strong op-position to it. It is my firm opinion that we have no moral or legal right to inflict our will on the people of southeast Asia, and that if action of any kind is indicated, we should not take it unilaterally upon ourselves, but should bring the matter before the United Nations for solution.

Respectfully submitted. RETNOLDS MOODY, Lieutenant Colonel U.S. Marine Corps, Retired.

ROCHESTER, MICH.

Senator WAYNE Morse. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I am hoping for a negotiated settlement of the Vietnam "mess." The U.N., the Geneva Conference, any alternative to escalating the war or even continuing it.

Let us spend that million and a half a day on assuring every one the right to vote in this country or lessening poverty here. Sincerely,

MARGARET DUFFIELD.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. May 26, 1964.

Hon. Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C. Dear Senator Mosse: Thank you for your very excellent speech on "Meet the Press,"

May 24, 1984.
What a pity that the public do not know papers print.

The Christian Science Monitor, New York Times, and the Wall Street Journal seem to do better reporting than most others. Please appear on the TV as often as possi-

ble to give us, the public, the facts.

Senator Morse, my thinking is that before peace of any kind can be attained it is necessary to bring China into the United Nations. My understanding of the United Nations is that it imposes an obligation on member governments to observe the provisions of the charter and the resolutions of the membership, and the important opportunity of behind-the-scenes discussions.

We need more speeches like yours and more men who are not afraid to speak the truth about facts as they exist.

Sincerely yours,

ERMA RAPP.

P.S.--I too felt very badly about the speech of Ambassador A. Stevenson. Twice I have heard him give speeches that I felt were from his lips and not his heart; they made me very sad.

> CANOGA PARK, CALIF., June 15, 1984.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: A few hours ago I sat down in a barbershop and casually thumbed through a recent issue of Life magazine which contained excellent photographs of the Vietnamese war. With my heart pounding I saw our side torturing young men in the Vietcong to extract confessions. The full horror of what we are doing suddenly dawned upon me.

Should the Asiatic multitudes achieve a dominant position in the world of tomorrow it will be a very difficult thing to be an American. All Americans will be held accountable for these crimes. We cannot plead ignorance when Life magazine so clearly has presented all of us with the facts as they are. Our Government has illegally committed American troops to a foreign war. Congress was not consulted nor was the U.N.

Please Senator Mosss, keep plugging for a cessation of our foul, filthy war in southeast

There are so few men who can do the honest, courageous thing that you have done. May you have the strength to continue.

Sincerely.

HAROLD SCHNEUER.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA Columbia, S.C., June 16, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE. U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I completely agree with your position on Vietnam. Our troop involvement there violates every precept of international law, just as it violates every precept of the existential morality of Christ.

As a Democrat, I am especially heartened by your willingness to criticise a Democratic administration. We need desperately in this country some opposition to the bipartisan warmongering which has kept the cold war going and stifled a realistic peace program. On the issue of Vietnam you are supplying it, with matchless eloquence and logic.

Sincerely.

EMMETT J. DUBANT.

MESA, ARIZ. June 20, 1984.

Drag Ms. Mosse: We hear with grave con-cern from Mr. Brinkley on the news what the State Department intends to do in Viet-nam—send 200,000 of our boys in there to get killed and start a war with China that would never end. You could tell by the looks on Mr. Brinkley's face that he is worried, and I and all the people I've talked to are worried.

people I've talked to, I can't find one who of Defense and General Taylor.

knows of any reason, why we are messing around down there in the first place.

Mr. Bundy, of our State Department, states we are going in there and extend the war to the north. MacArthur tried that in Korea thinking the Chinks would do noth-We got run out of there by the hordes of Chinese and lost a lot of boys.

We are wondering how many sons Mr. Bundy has of military age. I think Mr. Bundy had better go up to the Bay of

Fundy and get lost.

Mr. Brinkley gave us one ray of hope when he said you were going to demand the withdrawal of our troops, as they were in Viet-nam illegally, and cut out all aid to that country. Those people in Vietnam are so country. Those people in Vietnam are so busy fighting each other that they don't have time to worry about the Commies.

A. J. McGinn.

LONG BEACH, CALIF., June 15, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: You seem to be one of the few humanitarians in Congress. That's the reason we are writing to you. If we lived in Oregon our family of six voters would sup-port you in full. The only request that we have to make is read June 12 issue, 1964, and see the pictures under the caption "But this

is my duty," in Life magazine.
Please tell Congress that at least in this area our people are sick and tired of this

senseless war.

Are we a nation of barbarians?

Thank you, Senator and the best of luck. Very sincerely,

LESTER W. DENEVAN. WILDA A. DENEVAN. LESTER M. DENEVAN. TERRY L. DENEVAN.

LONGVIEW, WASH., June 19, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Just a line to you to encourage you in your attacks on foreign aid to corrupt, unreforming governments and on our policy in Vietnam.

I realize, as I'm sure you do, that such independent statements can be dangerous to you politically; it takes a strong and courageous personality to point out the harsh facts to the administration and the American public as you have been doing so effectively in recent months.

Best of luck to you, and I hope to keep hearing your strong, liberal voice on the major issues confronting the United States today and in the future.

Very sincerely,

WILLIAM J. GABOURY.

LOS ANGELES, CALIF.

June 28, 1964. Hon. Senator Wayne Mosse, Senate Office Provided Inc. Senate Office Building,

Nenate Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEME SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: Your work
for peace is greatly appreciated.

War cannot bring victory, will not destroy
communism, can only destroy pepole, property to the American image. Would like to
have your material on the questions of peace. Thank you in advance.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. VERA BACHMAN.

JUNE 28, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I agree wholeheartedly with your views regarding our "outlaw" activities in southeast Asia and par-ticularly in Vietnam. I began to rethink our participation in these countries this past What a pity that the public do not know Just why does our State Department think participation in these countries this past the facts about such material participation in these countries this past the facts about such material participation in these countries this past the facts about such material participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the power of congress to the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the power of congress to the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the participation in these countries this past the facts about such materials are provided in the participation in these countries that our newsT truly believe that a U.N. functioning "peace force" should be the position being expounded by our Government and not the unilateral military force as is presently being employed.

I support your view and will make known my acceptance of them to my own representatives.

Yours truly,

HOWARD RATCLIFFE.

MARTINSBURG, W. Va.

and those are more than the CARMEL, CALIF., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SEE: I have just listened to your remarks on Vietnam on the NBC "Today" program. I have spent considerable time in that area in the U.S. Navy and feel closer

to the situation than the average citizen.

Let me thank you for enlightening the public with your knowledgeable comments.

I agree with you and hope you are successful blocking our military entry into war in Asia. Sincerely yours,

HUNTER W. STEWART.

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator Morse,
DEAR SIR: I saw and heard you on the "Today Show", and I believe you are 100 percent right. I have always admired you, ou have more brains in your little toe, than those generals who sit safely in Washington. While the kids go and get killed, to satisfy The generals of course.

The United Nations was born to settle wars, I am for President Johnson too, but he is letting the wrong people advise him.

I hope you convince these tinheads to change their minds. e their min. Sincerely,

Mrs. R. DAVIS.

JUNE 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Please, Senator Morse, use whatever persuasion you can to stop the tide of aggressors in public office

before we have another major war. We in Florida cannot turn to our own Representatives; they are not fair to our own citizens, how can they be otherwise toward

other nations.

Why is it so many people feel that they are entitled to tell other countries how to run their affairs when our own is about the most immoral in every way. Would any people be anxious to join our ways unless paid or coersioned?

For a short time we had hopes in Governor Scranton till he came to Florida with the usual belligerent talk against Cuba.

I can look till I'm cross eyed but see only that they should settle their affairs inside Cuba by their own people. Will he spread communism to our neighboring countries? I think we are doing a better job of it by our example, by what is happening here in America and what we are doing to others.

Sincerely,

Mrs. J. STOWE.

MIAMI, FLA.

1. 188.2. 20 1 WASHINGTON, D.C., June 25, 1964.

Senator Wayne Mosse, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C. Dear Senator Mosse: I hope that I am correctly informed that you question present U.S. policies in Vietnam and favor our making an earnest effort to negotiate a

begin promptly before the fighting is extended and that they included the fighting is extended and th

copy of a letter similar to one I have just sent to President Johnson.

Will you please exert every effort to extri-cate us and the world from a struggle which has the effect of preventing completion of a revolution and which may readily get out of control?

Sincerely yours.

GELSTON MCNEIL. P.S.—This letter should have been modified for you. I do thank you, Senator Morse, for your courageous and outspoken efforts in behalf of a more sane policy.

For months I've been intending to thank you for a fine presentation of constructive ideas with regard to Cuba which I heard in an interview-type program on station WGMS.

Keep up the good work.

WASHINGTON, D.C., June 24, 1964.

President Lyndon B. Johnson, The White House,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR PRESIDENT JOHNSON. "The people of the world, I think, prefer reasoned agreement to ready attack." Does not this statement of yours March 23, 1964) call for a sharp change in our course in southeast Asia? For not only is it true that people prefer reason to violence: it is also true that negotiation on the basis of equality holds the only hope for life in a nuclear age.

Since 1945 war in South Vietnam has been killing and starving and maiming with terrible suffering thousands of women and children as well as guerrilla flighters (who are also human). None seems to know or care just how many. At a meeting last week I heard a Government spokesman, while rightly lamenting the over 1,000 American casualties, speak with gusto of "wiping out the guerrillas."

We should be demonstrating that we are we should be demonstrating that we are friends of the world's poor by aiding their "revolution of rising expectations" in Vietnam and everywhere. There can be no stability until this revolution is accomplished.

Instead, by supporting military juntas and other corrupt, oppressive governments, by supplying napalm and helicopters and barbed wire and cropdestroyers and other accounterments of modern war, we are opposing the revolution. Whether or not we intend to do so makes little difference. We appear to the oppressed, underdeveloped, war-sick people to be just another white, colonial power replacing the French who, we ourselves admit, were denying the people their sacred right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

I agree with Walter Lippmann (New York Tribune, May 28) and with Senator Morse that American troops never should have gone into Vietnam. These men point out that we must correct our error by substituting nego-tiation for force. In these Senators Church, GRUENING, BEALL, and others agree—as do I.

Stepping up the war in Vietnam would not only increase and prolong sufferings of the Vietnamese people and delay the revolu-tion, it would drive Ho Chi Minh completely into the arms of China (even as our starvation policies toward Cuba have driven Castro into dependence upon the great Communist powers). Extending the war will also cause U.S.S.R. to support China and thus destroy what improvement in relationships has been painstakingly and precariously worked out between the Soviet Union and the United

Mr. Johnson, instead of aggravating animosity between China and the United States of America, is it not time that we develop toward that great country a creative approach which offers some possibility of peace-

be wise to consider President de Gaulle's

proposals? On the other hand, continuing our arrogant and self-righteous policies to-ward China tends to lose us friends, to solidify our enemies and thereby to lessen the possibility of rapprochement.

A year ago at American University President Kennedy pointed to the truth that con-ditions and attitudes in all kinds of societies change and that we must recognize and enchange and that we must recognize and encourage changes in others and be open to change ourselves. It is also imperative, though extremely difficult for a nation—as for an individual—to admit its mistakes and change its course. Failure to do so will leave our country behind the march of history at both and of the march of the country behind the march of history at both and of the march of the country behind the march of the country the country behind the country that the country the country that the country the country the country that the country the country the country that the country that the country that the country the country that the country th tory at best, and, at worst, can make our beloved country an instrument for the destruction of civilization,

Yours sincerely,

GELSTON MCNEIL.

METROPOLIS, ILL., June 25, 1964.

WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: Thanks to you, for the words spoken over TV, when you said that our boys should not be dying in South Vietnam. I think its so shameful for the United States to have our boys there, when there has been no war declared. Why don't our so-called allies go in there too. They know its wrong. Here we have Cuba, right at our door, and no attention is given to what goes on there. But they risk their necks million miles away.

We cannot police the entire world. What would we say, when other countries sent military force over here to change our method?

I am for a peaceful country. The same should be followed with integration. We can't do anything by force. But people will understand each other as time goes on. We have no trouble here with a racial problem. All get along together and that goodwill, will

spread if they would keep agitators out.

Thanks again to you. Will you appear on TV more often?

We need a House full of Members like you in Washington.

Yours very sincerely,

Mrs. RAYMOND MITCHELL. P.S.—The feed grain program should be scrapped. It does more harm than good. The voluntary soil bank did so much more and cost less when people take their entire farm out of production. This feed grain the big farmers take out the less fertile ground and draw Government pay and use that money to buy fertilizer and livestock to produce more on remaining acres, and therefore the surplus gets greater.

Houston, Tex., June 29, 1964.

Your Honor Senator Morse: Just listened

to you on the "Today" show. I think you are so right. Did we not learn anything from Korea. Korea.
Yours very truly,
Mrs. Edna J. Daly.

FORT LAUDERDALE, FLA., June 25, 1964.

Senator Morse, DEAR SIR: At last we have someone on

Capitol Hill who has given me and many of my neighbors the inside on this Vietnam subject. This a.m. on program "Today," I stopped my breakfast to sit and listen to you; so clear was your explaining this dogfight we are in, and cannot do one thing except pour our men and dollars to destruction.

I am a widow but our country is, as you just about put it; carrying the load that is

Mrs. Claire V. Heberle.

Coffeyville, Kans., June 25, 1964.

Senator Morse. U.S. Senator of Oregon,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I heard your talk on "Today" TV today concerning the action of the United States, directed by President Johnson, in southeast Asla.

I agree in detail with all you said in your

TV interview.

Too much of our actions are based on wishful thinking and self-deception—based mostly on an infantile missianic complex that we have that we are the chosen people to lead the rest of the world into the land of milk and honey—while we are disintegrating here at home. We cannot understand that the rest of the world and no part of it see us as we see ourselves looking through our Alice in Wonderland lookingglass of wishful thinking, self-deception, and messianic complex.

Sincerely,

A. A. BAKER.

JUNE 24, 1964. DEAR SENATOR: I have been watching this Asiastic situation and try and take a fair approach to our position there and what we should do about it. I have come to the definite conclusion that you have the right answer. We do not want to get mixed up in a hot war with China, and it looks right now as though we are headed in that direction. The French fought in that area for tion. The French fought in that are a cover 7 years with top-flight troops, coupled over 7 years with top-flight troops, coupled with material aid from us, and they were decisively beaten. Are we about to travel the same road? Such a stupid course would deplete our resources and manpower almost to a point of no return. It will be another Korea. It seems that the Democratic Party (I am independent) is as frequently labeled,

"the war party."

Your position is, and will receive the support of the right thinking citizen. Keep up

the good work.

Respectfully yours,

CLAYTON E. DIGG.

San Bernardino, Calif., June 24, 1964. Mr. WAYNE MORSE,

U.S. Senator.

DEAR MR. MORSE: You have been a hundred percent right since you have been in the

U.S. Senate.

As you say that the United States is sticking its nose in too far in other countries business. That nose might be punched and bleed for several years at the expense of all Americans.

Mr. Mosss, you will have my vote and I know many more will vote for you.

I remain a true Democrat.

Yours sincerely,

FRANK H. SPICER.

WEST HARTFORD, CONN.

June 25, 1964.

Dear Senator: Please say what you said on "Today" about Asia—loud and long until it is heard. Thank you, sir.

Respectfully.

HELEN SHIVE Mrs. John J. Shive.

PLUSHING, MICH. June 25, 1964.

DEAE SENATOR MORSE: Your hard-hitting remarks that we heard on the "Today" show remarks that we heard on the "Today" show this morning concerning the situation in southeast Asia certainly made a lot of commonsense. What a deep insight you have into this serious problem.

You have my husband's and my complete concurrence in the matter and there must have multitudes of people all over the sounter.

be multitudes of people all over the country

It is to be fervently in prototred for Relative 2005/01/05 CIA-RDP66B004 To a duly appointed United a possibility that you and others of your colleagues on Capitol Hill who share your views, might do something about all this be-

fore it is too late. Your straightforward talk on television this morning was certainly a step in the right direction.

Most respectfully yours,
Mrs. Thropone Bunt.

LORAIN, OHIO, June 25, 1984.

Mr. WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senator, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I wish to state that I agree with your position on the dangerous situation in Vietnam and in southeast Asia in general.

I respectfully ask you that you do all in your power to prevent any war in southeast Asia involving the United States.

Respectfully yours,

CHARLES J. BROWNE.

OSMALGOSA, IOWA, June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank you for being willing to speak out concerning Vietnam. It is sad that our country should be the one to bypass the U.N. and not give it a chance at the peacemaking efforts for which it was instituted.

Further, I feel that the news media has allowed false ideas to guide public opinion because it is not pleasant to criticize. Where are our courageous news reporters? Thank you again.

Most sincere'v.

ZENA GRUBB Mrs. Charles E. Grubb.

> WARSAW, IND. June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: This is to add my voice of approval to your stand on current Government issues.

Thank you for your courageous and truthful comments on the TV program of "Today." as well as those made previously.

I am among those who feel that our only hope for avoiding a national disaster is by following policies such as you have expressed, and I urge you to continue with increating vigor.

Yours sincerely.

L. G. BAILEY.

SANDUSKY, ORIO, June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senator of the State of Oregon, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: Heard you being interviewed on the "Today" show this morning, and was very interested in what you had to say, as I and my husband and sons have been thinking the same thoughts. Thank God for men like yourself who are not afraid to for men like yourself who are not affait of speak out, for your honesty, your forthrightness, and also your clarity. What in the name of heaven is happening to this country of ours, and who do you think President Johnson thinks he is, God? Do you understand how small and helpless a person like myself feels in the face of what is going on in Washington. I don't want my two clean young boys fighting in that stinking hole for a ridiculous cause—besides, according to those brainy intellectuals in govern-ment now, it isn't the fashion to "win" a war anymore. If we had permitted General MacArthur to go on in Korea, this war may not have been necessary. I am one of those Americans who believe everything he wrote. And, according to many of my friends, they

more of a fervent Goldwater admirer than ever. He is being criticized for his com-plaints about the United Nations. He is being honest, too.

Thank you again.

Mrs. GERALD LUTZ.

ST. PAUL, MINN., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Washington, D.C.

Siz: Bravo for you on the "Today" show this morning. I hope you get much backing for your stand on Vietnam. We need more men of your caliber who will speak out for the good of this country.

Faithfully yours,

MYRTLE G. OSTERGREN (Mrs. Herbert L.).

Minneapolis, Minn., June 24, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I want you to know that I am in full agreement with your views on the situation in southeast Asia.

If it will help to show President Johnson that we want your ideas of carrying this problem to the U.N., I hope this letter will be used in that behalf.

I only hope it is not too late to save us from getting into another holocaust.

May God bless you in your efforts.

Sincerely.

Mrs. THOR MEAGHER.

Lima, Onio, June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Member of the U.S. Senate, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: I am not one to write letters but after reading the attached editorial I got so mad about some of the thinking of the powers in Washington that you wonder. It looks to me that they are trying to do everything possible to get in a fight with China. Then we will have another Korea on our hands, only a great many times worse.

My reason for writing you is to let you know I like your stand on this matter and many more.

Thanks for your time in reading this note. Respectfully,

RAY W. BARNETT. Treasurer, Allen County.

ST. JOHN CHURCH,

Greensburg, Ind., June 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I heard your view-point of the South Vietnam war. I agree with you 100 percent. What can we do to further your program?

Sincerely,

Rev. A. J. SCHNEIDER.

WISCASSET, MAINE, June 28, 1964.

The Honorable WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: No one could deny the tremendous influence exerted on the foreign mendous influence exerced on the lotely policy of the United States by the Pentagon. Just why a military department of our Government should be adjudged to be in possession of infallible wisdom in the area of peaceful international relations is beyond my understanding. With specific reference to this Nation's unilateral action in southeast Asia-which bids fair to represent the worst bungling by this country in international relationships for all time—I consider it to be absolutely shameful, utterly appalling, and entirely inconsistent with the policies of a nation presumably working toward world peace. Apart from our participation in the

Speaking as a private citizen, I most heartily commend you in your stand on the issue. Let us put the problem before the United Nations for its judgment and action. This is where it belongs, and is the only place it belongs. Our saying one thing and doing another will yet be the death of us. If peace be the aim of this Nation, then in the name of God let us be about it. Yours truly,

SCOTT D. KITTREDGE,

CINCINNATI, OHIO, June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SIR: May I congratulate you being a true American, being elected and holding a political office in our Government, upholding and not ignoring the Constitution of the United States, that reads, there shall be no foreign entanglements. As other politicians seem to ignore though sworn into office over a Bible.

Referring to your very true conversational talk over NBC-TV program, this is "Today." It is a pleasure to you talk as a true American, who knows what you are talking about, a type of an American like President George Washington. And thinking deeper perhaps if the United States had stayed out of World War II, there would not be communism m. Sincerely yours, Mr. J. Zinsmeister.

STUART, FLA., June 25, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MOBSE: Let me congratulate you on your fearless opinion expressed on the "Today" show, as I have the same idea about our boys in Vietnam. Please do everything to stop this unconstitutional war. I agree with you that this is a McNamara war

agree with you that this is a McNamara war and that we should not send a military man as our Ambassador into that area (Taylor).

Having had four brothers in the First World War, while I was trained as a nurse, and then three nephews in the Second World War on the German side, and three nephews here on the American side, I know what families are sacrificing. I lived in Germany during the first war and as an American citizen here in the second war, doing nursing and social work.

While I do a lot of traveling in different countries now, I know how we are almost despised for meddling in everybody's business. We should let other countries decide for themselves what they want to be, but guard our own country, according to our Constitution.

More power to men like you.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Thomas Darling.

SANTA ROSA, CALIF. June 25, 1964.

Senator Wayne Morse, Washington, D.C.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. MORSE: I have been in sympathy with your thinking on the problem in Vietnam right along, but your pronouncements this morning on the "Today" program makes me want to shout it from the rooftops that the United States should get out of southeast Asia and "rejoin" the United Nations.
It seems to me that of all nations we should not desiccate the sanctuary of peace. Keep up your good work, Mr. Morse. Your voice. may be the keystone to the arch, Sincerely,

Mrs. LEORA D. SURRYHNE.

EVANSVILLE, IND., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAE SENATOR MORSE: A breath of fresh air the citizens of the country. RDP66B0040 When the citizens of the country representation our ment on television. I personally think you're regimes that are wholly unpopular with the citizens of the country representation representation of the country representation representation

one of the smartest or most intelligent men in Washington, D.C. Keep up the good work. Yours truly,

W. E. HALL, Jr.

SPRINGFIELD, OHIO, June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I salute you on your courageous stand on American involvement in southeast We cannot correct the mistakes of 19th century imperialism by sacrificing American lives. Nor can we hope to persuade the Asians—in the throes of a colonial revolution captured by the Communists—that we are any different from their former white masters and that our brand of freedom will be any better than what they have hitherto known at the hands of the whites; that is to say, exploitation and humiliation.

I wholeheartedly support your position that our only recourse is the United Nations. Only if we direct our efforts through the United Nations can we avoid the onus of being considered the aggressor out there by the Asiatics and the other noncommitted peoples of the world. To do otherwise is to go it alone, setting our course on disaster. America has long been in need of such straight-from-the-shoulder advice as you gave this day on the "Today" show. Godspeed.

Cordially yours, 14 163

GEORGE TEHAN FISCHER.

HADDONFIELD, N.J.,

June 24, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank you. Thank you. Thank you for your fine, brave statements on South Vietn.m. It takes great courage to speak out against the foreign pol-ley as you and others have done. I wish that the American newspapers would publish your statements found in the Congressional Rec-ORD, for surely the American public is just uninformed about the true conditions in southeast Asia.

I consider you one of the finest patriots. because you are not afraid to speak out when you see we are not living up to our democratic ideals (and the freedom to voice opinions is a hallmark of our democracy but which should not be censored by the press).

We must try to educate the public to see that the proper place to solve international crises is in the United Nations.

Wish you would run for President.

Sincerely yours,

Mrs. Sally Pryor.

NEWBURGH, N.Y., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Building,

Washington, D.C.

MY DEAR SENATOR: Your forthright remarks heard a few days ago on the NBC "Today" show certainly pointed up the issues and dangers in the Vietnam situa-

As you so rightly said, the appointment of General Taylor to the vacated Lodge post does not augur well for a peaceful settlement of the situation. The deep involvement of this country in the south Asia area and the "policing" action we have undertaken seems years procedured to the resemble of the seems years and the "policing" action we have undertaken seems years to be a seem t taken seems very paradoxical in view of our own inability to control our citizens in Mississippi and Florida.

It would seem sort of like people in glass houses throwing stones—or don't do as I do, do as I say.

Please Senator, keep speaking out against further action that commits thousands of American youth to lose their lives to support regimes that are wholly unpopular with LOS ANGELES, CALIF., June 22, 1964.

Senator Wayne Morse. U.S. Senate Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I would like to commend you for your courageous and intelligent position and stand against the present reckless U.S. involvement in Vietnam and Laos which, if allowed to pursue its present course, will surely lead to full-scale war and hatred of the whole world against us.

I have just written to President Johnson to tell him that I fully concur with your posi-tion in regard to our foreign policy in Viet-nam and Laos.

It's pathetic that Congress has so few men of your caliber and stature. You will be remembered in history by your compassion and unusual degree of intelligence. Wishing you continued success in your

efforts to achieve peace for mankind.

Sincerely yours,

JACK KRIEGER.

EAST ORANGE, N.J. June 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your ready suggestions for the Vietnam situation show, as always, the long, deep thought that has gone before. Your interview on the program "To-day," this morning, brought me the only comfort I have had on that situation.

I, like you, am voting and working for President Johnson this fall. I admire him very much. I feel that now at this very moment is the time that he could well adopt your plan and map out a program for our beloved country which would save the United Nations and finally solve our problem in Asia. That might take years, but we would be on the right track.

For years I have been your respectful admirer.

G. V. RAFE.

PHILADELPHIA, PA., June 24, 1964.

The Honorable WAYNE MORSE, U.S. Senate.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I wish to let you know of my wholehearted support for your critical stand on the situation in Vietnam. Several weeks ago I heard you speak about this on the radio and was impressed by your ideas. Up until this time, I had no notion that anyone in Congress was at all opposed to our provocative and shortsighted policy in the Far East.

It is my understanding that the general goal of American foreign policy should be that of insuring the world peace and at the same time striving for the collapse of world communism. At times our efforts toward both these goals go hand in hand, but sometimes they do not. Since the advent of the nuclear age, the possibility of a military solution is considered out of the question by any thinking person. If so, then it seems the most promising opportunity for victory would consist of swinging the uncommitted peoples of the world over to our side. If we could do this, then we would have innumerable advantages over the Communists, e.g., economically, tradewise, and not the least, the sheer number of people who would be alined with us.

These uncommitted multitudes in Asia and Africa are just beginning to throw off the shackles of colonialism, ignorance, and the shackles of colonianshi, ignorance, and the effects of racial and economic exploitation. Communism, as taught in Moscow and Peking, lures them economically from one side. Democracy, and all of the advantages which go with personal freedom, should have at least equal appeal. But does it really?

Government makes by support of a military

dictatorship which is primarily engaged in the business of killing peasants. Does this really add to the stature of the world's number one power? I fear that to the many little people of the world this must take on the features of a David and Goliath struggle. This feeds beautifully into the Communist propaganda line that we are aggressive warmongers. By point of fact, in Vietnam we are doing just that.

History teaches, and teaches bitterly, that revolutionary activity cannot be effectively put down unless the Government's effort has the strong support of the people behind it.
Military rulers and petty dictators of all sorts
lack this popular support since by definition their rule is self-imposed and maintained by repression of the opposition. Often this is

bulwarked by American dollars.
Now let us take stock of some of our supposed "allies" and "comrades in arms" in the struggle against world communism. Of what possible benefit is it to the American people to be associated with creatures such as Chiang Kai-Shek, Franco, Madame Nu, or the present military junto in Salgon, to name but a few. In time of peace, we absorb all of the rotten aspects of their reputations by the simple fact that we support and condone them. In time of war, does anyone honestly think that any of these small-time Hitlers will stand gallantly by our side. More likely than not they will fice the country with the gold we have given them as many others have before.

This letter is already much too long. But again I would say that your position in this again I would say that your position in this vital issue is important, even if it is not popular at the moment. Neither are many other positions popular where real courage is required. Too often, basic political and philosophical change must be made by individuals like yourself who stand for a time alone in opposition to the compact majority.

Very truly yours,

Sunsy I. Copp. Ed D.

SIDNEY L. COPEL, Ed. D., Chnical Pathologist.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, OF OREGON,

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: We see you on TV. You are right about going to war with Red China.

It should be taken to the United Nations. We don't want war; it should be solved in some peaceful way. We don't want our sons to die for such a fool cause. If they go to war with Red China it will make us and then Russia will step in. I think we

should keep our nose out of foreign affairs. Let the United Nations do the job.

A PRIEND FROM OHIO (With Three Sons, not willing to see them die).

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR Morse: We want you to know how we admired you for your out-spoken comments on the "Today" program this morning.

You have given us a small feeling of security, but what has us baffied is that more men, supposed to be the leaders of our coun-

try, just follow along.

rankly we are very concerned about our Nation and its future—the TV and maga-sines and press distort the facts of what is really happening and the average person in our country today will not dig in and try to find the truth. They would prefer to watch a murder mystery or hillbilly program on TV.

As an individual there seems to be so little we can do-so many of our young people

One of the main troubles in our world to-

day stems from greed.
We both had the feeling that the program panel was somewhat awestruck by your comments, and we seriously doubt that had they known, you perhaps would never have been asked to appear. These are the things they are trying to hide from the people.

Please keep the courage of your convictions as we need more men in Washington, like yourself, to fight these battles.

Yours truly,
Mrs. Marvin S. Cochrane.

TORRANCE, CALIF. June 22, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Senate Office Building.

Washington, D.C.

Dear Senator Mores: I want to thank you for the position you have taken against the continued and increasing undeclared war in Indochins. It is a small comfort that we have at least one Senator with some decency left.

I suppose the theory is that village-burning and the rest of it was legalized long ago by the British and such modern improvements as we may add are only natural, the people being "natives" who put no value on human life. Besides, they haven't any aircraft and we have a deal with the Russians.

Admiral Feit announced this weekend that we are going to risk war with China, but that China would be afraid to risk war with us, since they know we are too strong. This sounds like the thinking of Douglas MacArthur, who ended up wanting to use cobalt bombs.

Presumably Felt's statement is policy, since he is commander in chief. And pre-sumably this is the policy of the President, the State Department, and the Government.

All I know is, we never voted for it. What seems to be the case is that the war-happy generals and the CIA invasionmakers are running the show and this business of democracy and an election is nonsense and just for the papers.

It seems hopeless to try to do something about it since it is not subject to vote or to control by elected representatives. whole thing proceeds as though GOLDWATER had won-and he's not even nominated yet.

Sincerely.

JOHN V. MANNING. (Copy to President Lyndon B. Johnson.)

KNOXVILLE, TENN. June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Not being a constituent of yours I write as an American citizen to say that I fully agree with your position stated on the TV "Today" program this date that our President does not have the constitutional right to prosecute war in South Vietnam.

However, you also said that you were going to the Democratic convention and support the President and do all you could to insure his reelection.

This is the second time recently that I have heard a U.S. Senator seriously disagree with and oppose the President on an issue of tremendous importance—this time you say real war could result—and then heard the Senator announce that he will help reelect the erring one. The logic of such a course escapes the ordinary person, and I should like to ask how we in a democracy can hope have been completely brainwashed.

Our America is not what it once was, or what it should be. Everprish vest Frotil Clears apply 1 hope the answer is not me with the least amount of effort.

The secure the good government and peace we so earnestly desire if we continue to yote counted.

The secure the good government and peace we so earnestly desire if we continue to yote counted.

The secure the good government and peace we many—why don't they stand up and be counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we so earnestly desire if we continue to yote counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

The secure the good government and peace we counted.

tive candidates, both Democratic and Re-publicans, would do worse things. With assurance of my esteem, I am,

Very respectfully,

N. W. BOWDEN.

P.S.-The above inquiry from one born and reared a Democrat will probably seem to ignore party loyalty when the issue transcends it in importance. It is a sincere in-

> PALO ALTO, CALIF., June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We are writing to let you know that we agree with your views on Vietnam, and we admire you for your courageous and forceful expression of them. We hope you will continue to find it possible to talk sense even when this touches on the sensitivities of people in high places. We are confident that good sense will prevail, and that in the long run the course of world events will be determined by those who are prepared to face issues realistically and with human understanding.

Sincerely yours,

MARGARET FINN. ROBERT FINN.

(Copies President Lyndon B. Johnson and Secretary Robert S. McNamara.)

JUNE 24, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR WAYNE MORSE: I agree with

you every time you open your mouth. On the situation in Vietnam you make sense. The ominous appointment of General Taylor to ambassadorship is extremely slarming. I have written the President, also.

You are, I know, a man of stature among

men of a ludicrous political specie.

We cannot afford the luxury of playing this war game, lest the world be launched in a third world war, directed (I might add) at the North American Continent, as well as

enguifing the entire world.

Please, take continued courage to speak out in the Halls of Government for what is politically and morally right. My husband also agrees with you.

I know you have had many well-wishers. Sincerely,

PAT R. STACKOVICH.

LOS ANGELES, CALIF., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Please let me congratulate you on your outspoken and courageous stand with regard to Vietnam. I am wholeheartedly in agreement with you, and I am sure that many, many, who, like you, are in possession of the facts, know that you speak the truth but lack your courage, and would endanger America and the future of the world rather than risk political careers.
I should think that even those who do not

agree with you would have great admiration for your integrity. The tragic truth is that history, I am sure, will prove you were right, but, I am afraid, too late to BOTO TIE.

My profoundest respects to a true American.

Sincerely.

MILDRED ROGERS.

(Copy to President L. B. Johnson.)

JUNE 24, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Your talk on TV about the U.S. policy I'm sure is shared by many—why don't they stand up and be

Your referral to the 30 Austrialians doubling to 60 was an eye opener, although we should know by now how such things work.

Please, Senator Monse, try to get on TV and radio oftener make the people realize it's their lives and the lives of their loved ones that are at stake.

I wish there was some organization I could join to help others to wake up and be counted, as it really is later than they think—much later—maybe even too late. Sincerely,

PEGGY KLEMPNER.

JUNE 25, 1964.

DEAR MR. MORSE: Just heard you on the "Today" program, and you are the first one I have listened to that has made any sense. I have always thought that the Congress made the decision if we were to have war, but President Johnson seems to be the one to decide. Like you I don't think Red China can be bluffed with our threats, and just might fool us, if we think they will back

They have 700 million people as you say they don't care about human life and they could send waves and waves of men against us. Khrushchev would love us to have war with them that would save their men and with them that would save then her mantime fighting with them, and in the meantime when we were fighting China they would start something in Berlin, and also in Cuba.

You're right we are the only ones in South Vietnam where are our Allies? They are smart they are staying out. Will you please go on Meet the Press and tell the people about this mess we got ourselves in. Fighting thousands of miles away, and for-getting all about Cuba right at our doorsteps.

Mr. Mosse I have always voted Democratic but at this time I feel like thousands more people not to vote for anyone. If you were running for President I would vote for you as you are the only one I ever heard that thought the same as I do.

We are at war in Vietnam, as sure as the sun will rise tomorrow, and sending an Army man to take Lodge's place does not look good to me. What good does it do to have a United Nations if the problems can't be brought to them to settle, I have also wondered what they are doing all along about this crisis in South Vietnam? Can one man, the President, make this decision to have our boys killed as they are every day over there (he has two girls) and talk so brave we will fight to the finish.

As you said we cannot police Asia, maybe Russia (Khrushchev) is pretending to be angry at China and provoke a war with us then go in with China. Its easy to start a war, but to stop it and get out of it is another thing. Our Allies as you say are sitting on the sidelines, and saying what fools.

De Gaulle sees the folly of us doing what we are doing, and he is not going along with us in our decisions to make a fool of ourselves. That "Washington Pipeline" column the other day said Russians are installing a new missile in Cuba that doesn't need a launching pad. Capable of being launched from a tank-fype vehicle, the missile's range from 600 to 1.100 miles. sile's range from 600 to 1,100 miles.

Mr. Morse, please shout from the hilltops we are doing wrong to stay in Vietnam, also where are the Natioalist Chinese (I spelt that wrong) but will you ask will Chinese fight Chinese? Years ago when I was a kid I read a book called "Carpenter's Asia" about the yellow peril to come some day.

When Japan started the war I then figured maybe the yellow races would get together You never hear anything any more about Red China and Russia being at odds since we took over and are fighting the least 250 country with the chip country of the 150009-3 published in Tokyo should be a supported by the 1500000 published in Tokyo

be better for him. Playing right into his hands.

Please, Senator Morse, you are the most intelligent man yet to go on TV and tell the people "wake up, America" before its too late, and gets out of hand, and brings us to war.

ALONE

Our allies are not with us in spirit. Sometimes I feel like a voice in the wilderness; there are so many things I seem to feel that

are not right, but what can I do?
China? There lies a sleeping giant. Let
him sleep. For when he wakes, he will move the world.

Napoleon said that years ago. Will close this letter, and don't remain quiet; Mr. Morse, you have the right answers.

Mrs. A. Ensko.

St. Louis, Mo. P.S.—Please excuse this typewriting; its this crazy machine.

> NORTH NEWTON, KANS. June 24, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We are grateful to you for what you are doing in the Senate and on television to change U.S. southeast Asia policy. The enclosed copy of my letter to the Secretary of State expresses my views.

Very respectfully yours,
W. F. UNRUH.

P.S.-You need not take the trouble to answer this letter. I am writing to let you know how glad we are for men like you in our Senate.

The editor of the Correspondent quotes a Japanese intellectual of Tokyo who has been in touch with some Chinese intellec-

tuals as follows:
"My friend, there is one thing I fear more than anything else. It is that the United States may carry the Vietnam war into the North. There is absolutely no question but that the Chinese would then enter the war against the Americans. They are desperate-ly afraid of America. Chinese on all levels see it as the aggressive and expansionist center of world imperialist reaction. They would enter the Vietnamese war as a matter of national survival. This is as certain as that we sit here."

> NORTH NEWTON, KANS., June 24, 1964.

Hon. DEAN RUSK. Secretary of State, State Department, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SECRETARY RUSK: The news release that our President has appointed Gen. Maxwell Taylor, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to replace Henry Cabot Lodge in South Vietnam, and that our Government is considering going into war with China by military action against North Vietnam is frightening to us. To go to war with China I feel would be a tragic blunder because it would cost millions of lives and would turn the world against us. A professed Christian nation surely_should not do what many believe will lead to a world war.
Why does not our Government allow the

United Nations to seek a solution to the southeast Asia problem? Through United Nations world opinion would discipline China and help bring about a solu-tion. The solution might not suit us in every respect, but it would likely be the best solution possible at this time. I feel strongly that U.S. unilateral military action is inexcusable when we have the United Nations organization to help keep the peace.

Therefore, I wish to make another plea

Our Government has not lived up to the Geneva Agreements of 1954 and the world knows this. We will need to bring U.S. policy into line with the peaceful purposes of the United Nations, and respect the longing of mankind for peace. I, for one, feel that China would respect world opinion as expressed through the United Nations.

Very respectfully yours,

W. F. UNRUH.

PHILADELPHIA, PA. June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I would like to take just a moment to tell you how much I have admired, over the years, your courageous and often single-handed fight for principle, truth and right.

Specifically I want to encourage you to continue in your opposition to our unconscionable involvement in Vietnam. This, and the Cuba business, have made me ashamed of my country, ashamed in a fundamental and permanent manner. These issues are crucial to what we as a nation believe and stand for, and if they represent the real answer, then-well, then I shall remain aghamed.

I would like to describe myself as a young college graduate—well, 32 years old—a civil servant, father, property owner and generally unexceptional. Sometimes the source of support is as important as the support itself.

Please keep up your efforts. I think you have demonstrated that the voters will support this kind of politics even more than the usual kind.

Sincerely yours,

ROBERT H. HANSTEEN.

ALHAMBRA, CALIF., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE Morse, U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR: I listened to your broad-cast this morning on the "Today" TV pro-gram, and I am writing as an American citizen in support of your position that war is not the solution to the problems that face

our government in southeast Asia.

My wife and I have spent our lives as Baptist educational missionaries in China and of late years in Japan. Our purpose has been to teach the Christian way of life to

students and to the people.

We have often found ourselves unable to support our commercial and industrial interests of the United States who have too often looked upon the Chinese labor and resources as an opportunity to make money by use of cheap labor and cheap raw materials. This system also made a small number Chinese of the compradore class rich, while the lot of the farmer, the intellectuals, and the craftsmen became worse and worse. The occupation of China by the U.S. Armed Forces during 1945-48 did nothing to help the common Chinese, indeed their lot became worse.

Since 1948 the great western powers have given up their colonial policy so-called except the United States who are now engaged in a war with Vietnam to prevent the people of Vietnam from having political and economic control of southeast Asia.

Senator Church of Idaho, on the "Today" show stated in his broadcast that large scale armed intervention in southeast Asia by American Armed Forces may result in the union of all the people of Asia into a race war against the white Americans, and at the same time may result in drawing the Chinese and Russians together against

glee? Just what he wanted, things couldn't bly or to the Geneva Conference for solution. stated and I quote, "A war between United 15166

States and China would be of truly great concern to us Japanese who also live in Asia," unquote.

The hour is very late maybe too late to avoid a great war in the Pacific, but I know you will do your best to get the issues before the American people and what they face if they try to use raw military power against the nearly 2 billion people of Asla. Please do your best Senator in this time of crisis to help us.

Respectfully your, STERLING BEATH.

JUNE 26, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Yesterday I saw and heard you on the "Today" program of NBC. What you said is important, not only for the United States but for the whole world and I hope you will continue your fight to have this brought to the U.N.

If we fought a war in southeast Asia, the problems there would still be unsolved, just as they are in Korea. These problems are compounded, rather than reduced by

Also, I hope you can help to see that the laws of the United States apply also to the State of Mississippi. Our son Douglas is among the young voter registration educa-tors there. The rights of citizenship must turs there. The rights of citibs extended to all Americans.
Greetings to Terry Olson.

Sincerely yours,

HARVEY F. BATZ.

P.S.—Formerly from Montana and still remembering our discussion on the plane going to India (in 1958).

> CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA, June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Building.

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR: Although I have written you before, sir, my admiration and gratitude for and to you are of such dimension that I must let you know again.

I'm grateful to providence that we have men of your caliber. You are my favorite Senator and have been for many years; may God bless you, sir.

My view runs parallel with yours, sir, from

alpha to omega.

Knowing that God is just, I often tremble over my country's behavior; but I know that you intrepidly endeavor to retard the trigger-happy ones. Again Senator Mosss, "It may make a difference to all eternity whether we do right or wrong today.

May I also ask you, sir, to convey my gratitude to your fellow Senators HUMPHREY. CHURCH, and others of good will.

As for the vultures-let them shrill. Thanks again and again, sir.

Respectfully and gratefully yours, HJALMAR JOHNSON.

ADRIAN, MICH., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I've just heard you

on the "Today" show.
You have given me hope, as you undoubtedly have millions of others who heard you express your views so daringly and so clearly—that someone in Washington is aware of what is going on and even though it is going against the actions of leaders in his own party, has the courage to ask what the majority of your countrymen are asking-Why are we acting alone? Why are we by-passing the United Nations? Why are we sending our soldiers to die in the Far East?

We are rebelling against what those in high office are doing to our country—the Supreme Court making our laws and scrapping the Constitution. Taking away the rights of the majority And restricts for Release and another conflict.

The appointment of the military to a diplomatic post in this critical area at this minority resulting in chaos. Cetting us into but we are continuing to loss the peace.

a war which we cannot win and for which we have no heart

Those in the highest offices have lied to us until we have no confidence in what we are told-the latest, denial of the resignation of Lodge when we know, now, he had resigned when the denial was made, The replacements weren't decided overnight.

The administration making a fool of itself to its countrymen and the whole world— Johnson expecting plaudits for trying to "settle the crisis in Cyprus" while preparing for a real war in Asia. Stating that Russia "agrees" to stay out of a war with Red China, expecting it to break a pact with Red China, but not to break that agreement.

Why have GOLDWATER and Wallace gone over so dramatically? The people see what is taking place and will vote for anyone who will promise to reverse the present trend.

Sincerely.

SESTA T. MATHEISON.

BUFFALO, N.Y., June 25, 1964.

MY DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank you very much for explaining on the "Today" show, why our southeast Asian war problem, has not been taken to the United Nations. It is a unique experience today to be told the truth, and I am most grateful to you, as are all of my neighbors, with whom I have spoken this morning.

Yours truly.

LUCY CHURCHILL.

DETROIT, MICH. June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: I certainly want to congratulate you on your stand regarding the expansion of our military activities in southeast Asia. I can't see where the administration is trying to save anything but face; and believe me I don't think the administrative face that they will save, is worth the lives of our boys who already have been killed there. Much less the lives of the many thousands more who will be killed with an expanded war.

I further think, that it's too expensive a lesson in lives for our military to obtain practical experience in this manner. I was under the impression that the Congress had the power to declare war. Are you, worthy gentlemen, of these two legislative bodies going to surrender this responsibility to the administration?

It seems to me that too many legislative prerogatives are being surrendered to the administrative branch.

I'm sending a copy of this letter to Senators McNamara and Hast of Michigan, and Congressman BROOMFIELD from my district.

Yours very respectfully,
J. M. Rosinson.

(Copies to Senator Harr, Senator Mc-Namara, and Congressman Broomfield.)

JUNE 25.1964.

Senator PHILIP A. HART, Senate Office Building.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: I heard Senator Mosse this morning on the "Today Show" and agree with him completely, as far as our foreign policy in the Far East is concerned. I'm sending you a copy of the letter I've written him to-day. I certainly solicit your activity in sup-port of his attitude.

It seems to me that thinking men, who remember Korea, and the thousands upon thousands of American men and women who

there; and we are destined to the same experience in southeast Asia, if we stay there.

You will notice that our European allies, with 100 years experience in Far Eastern matters, are offering little help.

I fully appreciate that the executive department has the responsibility of foreign policy but the legislation department are the ones that vote the money and can control the situation.

Very respectfully yours, J. M. ROBINSON.

(Copy to Senator Morse.)

JUNE 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

DEAR SIR: We are very proud of you to stand up and speak against the sending of Americans to South Vietnam. I cannot understand how we have a right to risk any kind of a war anywhere. We think you are a very wise man and know it takes rare courage to speak up in the way that you

Sincerely yours,

EMILY PARKER.

NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CALIF.

BEVERLY HILLS, CALIF.,

June 25, 1964.

My DEAR SENATOR: I am the costar of what has become a popular American television series, "McHale's Navy". I tell you this for purposes of identification because I know it matters little to you.

What I did want to say is that there are those of us that sleep better at night knowing you are in the U.S. Senate,

Flease keep up the good fight. You represent not only Oregon, but all of us.

My deep regards,

JOE FLYNN.

OMAHA, NEBR. June 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: When I watched you this morning on the "Today" show on NBC, when you voiced your opinion on Vietnam I was glad about the clear way you explained about a sad point in our present-day historv.

Sorry that this is but the stand of a minority but we can grow. I say "we," because I fully share your viewpoint on the situation.

Wishing you success.

ILSE RUTH KUTTNER.

FLINT, MICH. June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: After wondering aloud the last few weeks not knowing where I stood concerning South Vietnam, I now find myself compelled to urge you to continue your lonely battle against the U.S. Senate.

The American public is being pushed into war which could feasibly ruin the United States, the United Nations, and the world— and they will have no conception of why we will be fighting.

Any assistance I, as a citizen of the United States and of this world, can do to help in your fight, I will gladly do.

Sincerely.

LEROY A. LOTT, Jr.

CANOGA PARK, CALIF., June 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE.

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Every effort should be made to fight against the confirmation

This could well lead to a nuclear conflict and should be opposed with every energy at your disposal.

Respectfully yours,

PAUL STONE. \$ 18 3 MA

JUNE 23, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank goodness for

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Thank goodness for the voice of WAYNE MORSE raised against the Vietnamese flasco. That sorry, sorry war—with all those pitiful people embroiled in all that "modern" savagery.

What can the administration be thinking of—election, I suppose—and God help us if the Republicans get elected though sometimes I can't see much difference between them and us them and us.

Of course the United Nations should be taking care of this matter.

And now—well I can't go into Cuba—this

is to say-all of my friends agree with you. Sincerely,

MARY GWEN OWEN.

SOUTH FORT MITCHELL, KY., June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: I would be lax as an interested American and as one of your most ardent admirers and supporters, if I did not express my views on your appearance on "Today" this date.

It is a regrettable fact, but a few of us know that the policy being pursued today, is still Dulles brinkmanship, and only a new administrator, and it is far more regrettable that you, one of the best qualified supporters of a better America and world, has to get on your high horse and take out after the one leader who too stands for in most part the things you stand for, as you and I know this is the very thing the GOP, who cannot think of anything good or constructive to propose, so they spend their time trying to pick up a scrap of any difference within the Democratic ranks and exploit it using the press, and TV which they in 98 percent control.

I want to compliment you and your honest and forthright "Morse Manner" disagreeing, caused by honest differences of opinion, which I feel is too right for comfort, as I clearly understand you and from listening to you back on radio, as Republican, then In-dependent, and as Democrat, also in our convention, as I work as a representative of the International Association of Machinists AFL-CIO and am away from home trying to assist those who struggle to make ends meet to feed, house, educate, and clothe their fami-

You know and I too know that this appearance had great impact on millions who will not write, and so the GOP syndicated columnists who decry everything will use this expression for their own selfish and for most part stupid partisan purposes to try and gain political jobs, for those who would make fast GOP war,

Excuse typing as I am better machinist, rather than typist, using the hunt and peck system.

Your admirer and supporter,

MATTHEW CARR.

PHILADELPHIA, PA.,

June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Listening in on your television interview yesterday, I am proud to know that we have men of your caliber in Washington.

I am 100 percent behind your suggestion to take our Vietnam problem to the United Nations.

Please continue your efforts for the good of our Nation.

BIRMINGHAM, MICH. June 25, 1964.

SENATOR WAYNE MORSE.

HONORABLE SIE: I want to complement you on your views, expressed on the "Today" show concerning our foreign policy in southeast Asia.

I am the average American; voiceless, and throughout the world. We have enough sense to know something is rotten in Denmark, judging by the gaseous bubbles emanating from the cesspool, but confused by the doubletalk. We don't know what it is.

You straigthened out the string for us this morning. Let's call a spade a spade, and proceed to dig with it. America deserves better representation than some of our people are offering.

More power to people who think and speak as you do. I am a working man, 70 years old, have been a Democrat all my life. I don't have to worry about eating any more, but am still a Democrat.

I'd like to shake your hand.

WINFRED KOLLARS.

JUNE 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I want you to know how grateful I am to you for trying to keep us out of a war. The nuclear weapons today, in case of a war, will destroy our civilization that took centuries to build.

FANNIE LANDER.

CHICAGO, ILL.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Keep up the resistance to Johnson's brinkmanship. This alleged war for self-determination once seemed ridiculous and now seems disgusting. Clearly the people of Vietnam, north and south, are quite determined that their future shall not be dictated to them by the United States. I don't know what the Bhuddists in Saigon want, or what Ho Chi Minh wants, or what the prisoners in those "free" and fortified hamlets want, nor do I think they are sure of their aims either, but I am pretty damn sure that they do not want more U.S. generals, advisors, planes, guns, murder, and mayhem.

Please push for U.N. guaranteed neutrality, U.N. border patrols and inspectors, etc., for the whole of southeast Asia. A neutral buffer belt around China seems to be our best hope.

Why don't you and Senators GRUENING, MANSFIELD and any other rational or semirational Senators put together a policy state-ment, get signatures from both Houses, publicize it, embarrass people (L.B.J., Taylor, Lodge, et al.) with it.

See if you can't hold up Senate approval of Maxwell's appointment. Will there be hearings first? Then grill him on his "unique" qualifications for the ambassadorial job.

What can be done to curtail L.B.J.'s power in this area? How best to expose the hypocrisy of our southeast Asia policy?

Yours.

CHARLES KEIL.

Sarasota, Fla., June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.:

Until today, when I listened to what you had to say about our policy in Asia, I thought you were a maverick, but now I'm one of the millions who are behind you 100 percent.

When will Congress take inventory, and start taking back many of the powers it has delegated to the White House and put the executive branch of our Government in the right constitutional perspective.

As you so aptly put it, why not use the United Nations. It is our money that runs

My hat's off to you sir, for saying what few, if any other Senator, would have the courage to say. There is still hope for us with men like you on the Hill. I was almost ready to fly my flag at half mast come the 4th, for all the freedoms and constitutional rights I have lost.

F. J. JAKES. P.S.—Written in person, and since I have no sight, I hope you will forgive any mis-spelling, and/or typographical errors.

> SHERMAN OAKS, CALIF. June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building,

Thanks.

Washington, D.C.
DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Yeah, man.

You said a mouthful. Pour it on.

In the great tradition of Abraham Lincoln, you have dared stand up and say that the Government of the United States is warmongering and must stop. You perform an inestimable service to the American people.

Believe that we people, though confused, disoriented, and scared (not only by fear of war, but by fear of persecution if we voice our fear of war) will stand up and support a man of your stature who will carry a consistent fight to the people on this ques-

What can I do to help? Sincerely,

FREDERIC I. RINALDO.

AFTON, WYO. June 25, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: If we only had more Senators like you the world would be a better place.

e could expend our energies on China and be a pushover for Russia. We do not want war. We should pull out of Vietnam. God bless you.

Mr. and Mrs. Roy GARDNER. P.S.—Keep speaking up for that which is right.

> BENTONVILLE, ARK., June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE,

U.S. Senate,

Washington, D.C. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Some "unthinkable thoughts" which should be publicized.

We (the United States) refused to sign the 1954 Geneva Conference Agreement on Far Eastern Affairs which stipulated that a plebiscite be held in North and South Vietnam in 1956.

We instead strengthened our ties with Ngo Dinh Diem (later recognized as a fraud and murdered).

We sent a general in civilian clothes to assist the Dicm regime "as an adviser."

We sent more than 16,000 armed men without the necessary approval of Congress to carry on a war.

We now call it a war and decorate casualties accordingly.
We now send another general, presumably

in civilian clothes, as Ambassador to South Vietnam.

We now threaten to attack North Vietnam from South Vietnam and the South China Sea and Laos from Thailand.

We call the rebels of South Vietnam and Laos Vietcong or Communists, not "Washington rebels."

Congress has not declared war and the mass of people of the United States do not want war.

Who is running this country? Is it Mc-Namara who represents the capitalistic in-

Nation. The voices of Senators Morse, Fulbright, most of that departing club and persist-most of the voices of Senators Morse, Fulbright, most of that departing club and persist-most of the voices of Senators Morse, Fulbright, and persist-most of the voices of Senators Morse, Fulbright, and persist of the voices of Senators Morse, an one be so greedy or puerile and fail to be

This is the nuclear age where dollar di-plomacy is on the wane because the Baruch plan failed which left the United States with-

out a monopoly of nuclear power.

Just as the industrial-military complex assumes the privilege of issuing almost daily press releases which promote the in-terests only of the industrial-military com-plex so should our Foreign Relations Committee, our elected Senators and Representatives, who represent the people and not special interests, issue as many releases which reveal a deep concern for our country as a whole, without ulterior motives limited to a powerful minority.

With best wishes. Sincerely yours,

WM. P. ENGEL.

NORTH NEWTON, KANS., June 25, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE Morse, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We were downright relieved to hear the ideas you expressed on the "Today" show this morning. We wish these convictions were more widespread. It seems to us, too, our military activities in Vietnam are just for nothing—for nothing. We have high regard for President Johnson and we can't understand why he and Dean Rusk and MacNamara, etc., are for going on with this futile war. How can we bring about a change in policy? It's so tragic to see what's happening.

Respectfully,

Mr. and Mrs. WILLIS RICH. P.S.—South Vietnam should be a United Nations problem.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Congratulations for a rare and courageous voice speaking out for a sane and sober approach to our South Viet-

namese policy.

As you mentioned, the Vietnamese war is McNamara's war-but it also seems true, as a reporter questioner put it to you—whether the forthcoming election was not a reason for the President's warlike activity?

I believe it is true, that with cessation of war, our economy will suffer, unless our economic planners work out a program of reconversion to peacetime industrial production—the future does not look too bright.

We all look forward to your good health and clear thinking.

Sincerely,

ROSE KARSHMER.

LOS ANGELES, CALIF.

BERKELEY, CALIF., June 24, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: We have written President Johnson urging him not to extend the war in Vletnam, but we also want to thank you for your tremendous efforts in preserving peace in the world. Your stand moved us to write to the President and I am sure that it will serve as a rallying point—indeed it already seems to be doing so—for those who want to live in peace. Thank you. Sincerely.

Mr. and Mrs. JERRY RICHARD.

OSKALOOSA, KANS.

SENATOR MORSE: I turned on the TV program June 25, "Today" and must say keep

to fight in other nations, it's time someone tells the folks who are responsible for it.
What is wrong with our U.N. representatives?
I sent a son to the Second World War and I know what it means for a mother to send her dear sone to fight on foreign soil. He started in North Africa and got clear around to France. Was gone 3 years. I think we mothers might put a stop to it if we would fight a little too. I've been a Republican but when a see a Democrat who gets things done I'm for him. I don't want to see my grandsons have to go and fight in other nation's wars. Keep up the good work.

Sincerely.

Mrs. RAY ENGLE.

PROVIDENCE, KY., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: It was with a great deal of pleasure that I listened to your inter-

view yesterday.

Please talk this over with other Senators. Thanking you.

Most sincerely,

Mrs. ORVILLE G. WITHERS. the U.N., and President Johnson.

INK, ARK., June 26, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: These few words to compliment you on your stand in South Vietnam. The whole blame country and all the American capital invested there isn't worth the life of one American soldier. Sooner of later we are going to have to get out and the sooner the better.

Sincerely yours,

W. A. GILBERT.

NEWTONVILLE, MASS. June 27, 1364.

Senator WATNE Morse of OREGON. Foreign Relations Committee,

U.S. Government. DEAR SENATOR: Thank you for speaking the truth so openly about the mistaken policy of the United States of America in South Vietnam.

The International League for Peace and Freedom founded by Jane Addams and Emily Balch is working as hard as we know how

for the change in policy that you advocate.
All the forces there should be under the
U.N. as you suggest. Ho Chi Minh tries to run a socialistic government in North Vietnam. He does not want to be ruled by the Chinese Communists.

Mr. Chakravarty, an Indian intellectual, who teaches at Boston University, recently spent 3 weeks in and about Saigon. He says that it is a reign of terror there, that these gentle people had a good agriculture on their fertile soil, but you said, I think that U.S. Army troops occupy the peninsula, which contained the best ricefields.

Yes, we are letting the Pentagon and the

arms manufacturers run our foreign relations. When Japan's military clique got power, they brought Japan into the war on

the side of Hitler.

The "Today" show is watched by millions all across the country. I am writing to congratulate them on having such an able, forthright speaker on their program as Senator Mosss, from Oregon.

I have cousins living in the beautiful city of Portland, Brener, and Snowhill, and Dec. Cratefully yours,

ALICE L. MURDOCH.

GLEN ELLYN, ILL. June 27, 1984.

I hope this can be done, and quickly, too. KATHABINE K. MOORE.

MY DEAR SENATOR MORSE: You can always be depended upon for sensible suggestions with regard to our ever-increasing problems.

I hope you will continue to grace the Senate for many years to come.

Sincerely yours,

KATHARINE K. MOORE.

FOXBORO, MASS. June 27, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I congratulate you on your efforts to bring the Senate to a meaningful consideration of the space authorization bill.

In the past—Telstar, Vietnam—in your role as devil's advocate you have indeed proven yourself a statesman.

I do wish I were a constituent of yours

that this letter and my feelings on your performance would be of tangible value.

Best wishes for your future efforts.

Sincerely,

JOHN G. AYLWARD.

SCHWEIZ, SWITZERLAND. To Ambassador Adlai Stevenson, Senator Morse, Senator Fulbright, Kiplinger Washington Letter, Stone's Weekly Letter:

One of the best European newsletters, Zuericher Wirtenhaftsbrief, who has excellent sources of information, reported from Paris that De Gaulle fears President John-son might be forced, still before elections, into a "strike of violence" against China (perhaps to prove that he is as "hard" as GOLDWATER).

One of the two leading Swiss weeklies, Zuericher Woche of Zuerich, published on June 26, 1964, an editorial with the title "America's Janus Head." comparing Amer-ica's policy in Cyprus and in southeast Asia.

After a positive comment on America's policy in Cyprus, it comments on America's policy in southeast Asia with the following sentences which are doubly remarkable because Switzerland is the most anti-Communist country in West Europe:

"In the last 14 days the American warnings, or rather threats, against North Vietnam and China have been so frequent that it is not too early to speak of a dangerous situation. The former commander in Saigon, General Harkins, could publicly declare, without being reprimanded, that it would be very advantageous to risk war with China, in order to protect South Vietnam against a Communist takeover. Between May 17 and June 21, American planes, in undisputable violation of the Laos agreements, made more than 200 reconnaissance and bombing flights over the Pathet Lao territory.

"The appointment of the Chief of the General Staff, Maxwell Taylor, as Ambassador of the United States of America in Saigon shows who commands in South Vietnam and what is planned. What would the Americans say if Marshal Malinowski would be appointed as Ambassador in the German Democratic Republic (East Germany)?

"Obviously, the American circles who decide policies, concluded the rift between Moscow and Pelping became so deep and irreparable that China can be treated the hard way without Moscow considering this as need to assist its ally. Perhaps these people assume even a silent Russian consent. One must warn against this. Similarly, as the Soviet Union went into a trap in Cuba, so Washington can get into a trap in south-east Asia. Such action could end very badly.

gram June 25, "Today" and must say keep up the good work for peace.

Voice of the Prople,

Chicago Tribune, Chicago, III.:

I have felt all along at is such a mistake to send our boys to Vietnam of the world war. Our poor boys sent all over the world

Voice of the Prople,

Chicago Tribune, Chicago, III.:

Senator Mosar's suggestion that the Viet
Mations is an excellent one.

Nations is an excellent one.

cost the lives of 300 million people in America, Asia, and Europe. K. KAUFFMANN,

Citizen of the United States of America, Democratic Voter, Never a Communist or Member of Any Communist-Front Organization.

Houston, Tex., June 24, 1964.

Hon. WAYNE MORSE,

Hon. WAYNE MORSE, Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR: We have tried to the best of our abilities to follow the developments in South Vienam and find ourselves constantly at odds with the concept of a military solution. solution.

solution.

It is therefore with particular pleasure that we have followed your repeated requests that the problems of southeast Asia be put before the United Nations.

We sincerely hope that you will continue to pursue this course.

(Copies to the President of the United States and the Honorable RALPH YARBOROUGH.)

ROGERS PARK COMMITTEE FOR A SANE NUCLEAR POLICY, Chicago, Ill., June 10, 1964.

Chicago, Itt., June 10, 1802.

Hon. Wayne Morse.
Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: Rogers Park Chapter,
National Committee for a SANE Nuclear
Policy wishes to praise the courageous and
enlightened stand you have taken on Viet-

In the struggle of rival ideologies it is often difficult to think in terms of what is best for humanity as a whole. We would like to encourage your work in hopes of changing the devastating course which our adminis-tration has embarked upon in Vietnam. Please continue your efforts in this field.

Very truly yours,

MELVIN KATZ, Chairman,

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE,
Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I have just written
the President opposing the appointment of
General Taylor as Ambassador to South Vietnam and opposing our dangerous role in that area. I urged him to support your position placing the entire matter before the United Nations and letting that body

the United Nations and letting that body solve this problem.

I want to express my wholehearted support to you and to your colleagues in the Senate for speaking out and alarming the American people to this grave threat to the peace of the world and to the United Nations. I congratulate you and wish you good health and the strength to carry on.

Sincerely wours

Sincerely yours, Mrs. Annette Cimring.
Los Angeles, Calif.

Banta Cruz, Calif., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: With reference to the press interview on the TV "Today" show that was broadcast at 7:30 a.m., p.s.t. on June 25, 1964.

Communism" was used by Hitler as the excuse for the Germans to conquer the entire world.

No nation need have any fear of communism, unless, the people of a nation are suffering injustices, such as: unemployment, racial discrimination, military dictatorship, or the tendency thereto, economic inequali-ties where a portion of the nation live in luxury and a portion live in dire poverty. Our country is fortunate indeer to have

a Senator with your courage and rationalism, and to fearlessly state the facts as you have. We are proud of your, Sir. We regret that we do not have a greater number of Senators with the same attributes.

Sincerely yours,
J. W. and Neva Bournier.

JUNE 26, 1964. DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I wholeheartedly support your position that we should not get involved in a war on the mainland of southeast Asia, and should withdraw our military forces from South Vietnam.

Keep up the good work, I have just finished writing to President Johnson supporting your position, and am going to write my Congressmen and Senators.

Sincerely,

BEN W. ANDERSON, Jr.

MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.

STATE COLLEGE, PA., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I'm sure that a great number of concerned people were relieved and given fresh hope by your views on yester-day's "Today" show of NBC.

More strength and courage to you and others who have now for months given us subscribers to the Congressional Record hope that the U.N. may be brought into a peace settlement in Asia. It seems as if the press and news media of all kinds have guessed wrongly that your views and points guessed wrongly that your views and points in favor of legal action through U.N. should be suppressed. May the new awareness be heightened also by a more tolerant view of U.N. by Senator Church's remarks on "Today" this morning.

Thank you and blessings on your vigorous stand for some way to have world authority replace U.S. military domination in a new world which will not accept the latter.

Sincerely.

Sincerely, MERWIN HUMPHREY.

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE

Dear Sir: Just to let you know we are be-hind you in your effort to open the eyes of the American people on what is happening in southeast Asia.

We will do our part to bring your message to the people of California. Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Carlton Moss.

JUNE 25, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. SIR: Listening to you on the "Today" pro-

Am only an old woman, but I do now and have always admired you. You talk like I feel. But what can we little people do. I do not like McNamara and never have, he even looks too stern. Our Senator Young is also a good man and does not like this business in Asia. It bothers me because of our boys getting killed out there. Does our country want to rule the world. Thought Washington would get rid of the war mon-gers. This is not a fine letter, but this Asian thing bothers me a lot.

And please do all you can to help our boys.

And we talk about Russia. Who are we to say anything.

Respectfully.

Mrs. J. DUARANT.

CLEVELAND, OHIO.

JUNE 27, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE. Congressional Office Building,

Washington, D.C.

SENATOR MORSE: Enclosed is a carbon of a letter I sent to President Johnson today with regard to the dangerous situation in southeast Asia. I want you to know that I will do what little I can to see that the U.S. do what little I can to see that the U.S. military presence is removed from that part of the world and that I am grateful for the stands that both you and Senator Gruening have taken on that U.S. presence.

Sincerely,

HENRY KLEIN.

Wanaque, N.J.

JUNE 27, 1964.

President Johnson, White House, Washington, D.C.

MR. PRESIDENT: The increasing involvement of U.S. money, equipment, and troops in southeast Asia is for me a source of rising apprehension. It troubles me that when the Attorney General of this country can say, with regard to three civil rights workers in Mississippi, that there is little he can do under existing laws, that this same country, in southeast Asia, can pursue a policy in blatant disregard of the law, the Geneva Accord, as well as the sentiments of the peoples of both South Vietnam and Laos.

What can the justification be when the Vietcong are fighting a legitimate civil war with popular support in South Vietnam, and the situation in Laos arises out of the rightwing coup d'etat earlier this year rather than the withdrawal of the Pathet Lao from the coalition government which the coup made inevitable. There can be no justifica-tion for a policy that risks total war in an area in which we have no rights save that in withdrawing we might lose face. Do we not have a greater obligation to all mankind to preserve peace?

If I am called up to fight in southeast Asia, I cannot with conscience do anything else but refuse. I will not help to bolster a regime so terribly repressive as that of South Vietnam, and though I would not hesitate to fight for the legitimate defense of our own country, I will not fight in Southeast Asia.

Sincerely.

WANAQUE, N.J.

HENRY KLEIN.

OLNEY, TEX., June 26, 1964.

Senator WAYNE MORSE,

Washington, D.C.

Dear Senator: Yesterday I saw you on the "Today" program and I believe you are right in your thinking on the southeast Asia question. I hope you can put your program over and if there is anything that we Americans can help, let us know.

Yours Truly.

R. N. LUNN.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.,

June 22, 1964.

DEAR SENATOR MORSE: I heartily endorse we most heartily agree with you on all points. The Vietnam situation should be the function of the United Nations to resolve. We as a nation have no business and our boys over in Asia. But we are nothwhatsoever to send our boys to be thing the confict what is really a domestic analytic and our boys over they like. I wish they in possible to the country of the country want to rule the world. Thought we were for peace. Also, I know plenty of people who do not like to have our country. I am appalled that this administration publicly admits plans for extending the conflict what is really a domestic analytic and our boys over in Asia. But we are noth-licity admits plans for extending the conflict washington, they will go are ad and do more appalled by the degree of apathy and nation. That wornout slogan, "To Fight

by its silence all the monstrous machinations of genocidal war. God bless you.

Brephen Leiper.

LANSING, MICH., June 25, 1964.

Senators George D. Aiken and Wayne Morse, U.S. Senate.

Washington, D.C.

GENTLEMEN: Please accept our support for your courageous position on American policy in the Far East as expressed in your recent TV news statements. We hope other Senators, including our own, will have the courage to join you.
Unless our completely bankrupt brute

force approach to Far East problems is reversed we may find that our country will have to pay dearly for the widespread tendency to put political considerations above all else. We can see no other honest explanation for our so obviously ineffective (not to mention immoral) Far East policy. Sincerely,

ROBERT WASSERMAN. MARGARET L. WASSERMAN. (Copies to Senators HART and McNAMARA.)

Mr. MORSE. I shall speak at greater length on the subject today outside the morning hour, but I wish to say that the war should not be made our war in Asia. We have no right to make it our war in Asia. We have no right to kill American boys in Asia. There is no basis for the United States not to have taken it to the United Nations long ago. That is our treaty obligation. There is no basis for our allies not having taken it to the United Nations. I am sad to see my country putting itself in the image and the posture before the world that we are going to exercise our military might first, and then after everyone has surrendered, to us, we shall be willing to negotiate.

Mr. President, we could not make a greater historic mistake than that. One of the great mistakes being made is that we have leaders in this country talking about this as our war in Asia. made it our war in Asia? How did it become our war in Asia? It happens to be a threat to the peace of the world, and it ought to be a subject of concern to all peace-loving nations in the world. We ought to be trying to keep the peace in

Asia and not make war.

I disassociate myself from all the talk about the war being our war, because we, have no right to be making war in Asia.

URBAN MASS TRANSPORTATION **ACT OF 1964**

Mr. LAUSCHE, Mr. President, yesterday the Senate passed the bill (S. 6) authorizing the subsidy of mass transportation systems throughout the United Other Senators as well as I States. voted against the measure primarily, I believe, in the fear that the program would grow interminably and involve a cost far in excess of what anyone could now imagine.

Some discussion took place about the construction of the Interstate Highway System in connection with the bill. We know that the Interstate Highway System has been financed through a trust fund into which moneys have flowed. financing the program. Yesterday I

stated that in 1962 the Congress directed that a study be made as to ways and means of solving the mass transportstion problems of our metropolitan communities. The report on that study is to be made on January 1, 1965. Whether or not in the study the establishment of a mass transportation system trust fund will be contemplated. I do not know.

I am having prepared a resolution which will direct the Secretary of Commerce to make a study of the feasibility of establishing a trust fund to finance the mass transportation system. My resolution will specifically request that a study be made to ascertain whether, out of the fares of each community that is being helped, there may be taken a one-cent or a two-cent charge, to be paid into the trust fund, so as, at least in part, to finance the subsidy. I shall have that resolution ready for introduction sometime within the next week.

I make this statement to call the attention of my colleagues to the advisability of studying whether or not we can, in part, make self-sustaining this huge new subsidy.

I vield the floor.

CHEROKEE TRIBE PRAISED

Mr. MONRONEY. Mr. President, the Cherokee Indians over many years have made a vital contribution to the development and culture of Oklahoma. Recently the Kansas City Star, of June 7, 1964, published a full-page story on William W. Keeler, principal chief of the Cherokee Tribe and a top official of the Phillips Petroleum Co. As an accompaniment to the blography of Keeler as 'a big chief, both of oil and Indians, the Star devoted an article to the traditions and culture of the Cherokee's. The tribe's religion, laws, education, and customs are described with such interest that I ask unanimous consent to insert the article in the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD. The author says that the Cherokee culture is revered by Cherokee descendants. I also admire it, as do most other Okla-

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

PROGRESS OF FAMED INDIAN TRIBE A SOURCE OF PRIDE IN OKLAHOMA

The traditions and culture of the Cherokee Indians are revered by their thousands of descendants, but by none more than their present principal chief, William W. Keeler, Phillips Petroleum executive who is the subject of the accompanying article.

Keeler's ancestors were among the more than 13,000 Cherokees who were forced leave their homes in Georgia in the 1830's by a white man's government that, in retrospect, appears to have been singularly unenlightened. More than 4,000 of the Indians, men, women, and children, died on the tortuous 1,000-mile march on the "Trail of Tears" to Oklahoma Territory.

Signifying changes wrought by the passing years, it was 2 years ago that the eastern capital of the Cherokees at New Echota, Ga., restored at a cost of \$95,000, was dedicated at special homecoming program attended by Keeler and other distinguished members of the ancient tribe.

lieves it is a source of inspiration for the full-

blood Cherokees who do. In the ritual of the Seven Clan, the members stand at seven points around an eternal fire (the supreme great spirit).

Young members of the clan respect and treat one another as brothers and sisters and consider all of the older members as their mothers and fathers and pledge to protect

and care for them.

The "eternal flame," fed by fuel of wood, is brought forth from a hiding place for regular worship ceremonies. Believers say it was lighted back in antiquity, when lightning probably was the only source of fire, and has been kept alive by the tribe ever

The flame was borne along the Trail of Tears in a brazier or earthen vessel from a hiding place in the fastness of Georgia hills. It has a tribal significance the Cherokees do not divulge. Only faithful keepers know

In the Civil War, the Cherokee Nation fought on the side of the Confederacy. Members of the tribe owned slaves, but emancipated them February 18, 1863.

Long before Columbus discovered America. the Cherokees, one of the five civilized tribes, possessed tribal customs preserving rights of property ownership and providing punishment for crimes. Tribal customs served with the force of law until 1808, when the written statutes first came into existence.

The tribe's first organic law, patterned somewhat on the U.S. Constitution, was adopted in 1817. Ten years later it was broadened to set up legislative, executive, and judicial branches of the government. As far back as the 1820's the tribe members were building roads and schools.

From the beginnings, Cherokee laws characteristically encouraged education. Compulsory attendance of schoolchildren was pioneered by the Cherokees. They elected

their chieftains by popular vote.

Intermarriage of relatives, extending to cousins, was prohibited. A complete system of courts, corresponding to our courts today, included a supreme court and an attorney Until 1875, most crimes were punishable by whipping. Hanging was the penalty for murder and rape. Larceny of stock was a serious offense, the punishment being 50 lashes upon the bare back for the first offense and 100 for the second. On their

third conviction, the culprits were hanged.

The Cherokees held truth in high regard, and perjury was one of the most serious offenses under their laws.

The Cherokee Advocate, the first newspaper in Oklahoma, was established in 1845 and printed in both Cherokee and English. The first long distance telephone west of the Mississippi River was between Tahlequah and Fort Gibson. Greek and astronomy were in the curriculum of tribal seminaries operating in 1851.

When statehood was granted to Oklahoma in 1907, the Cherokees and the other four civilized tribes—Chickasaw, Choctaw, Creek, and Seminole—ceased to exist as political entities because their property passed into the domain of the 46th State.

ALLEGHENY AIRLINES, A CARRIER SERVING WEST VIRGINIA, STUD-IES ACQUISITION OF JET-PROP AIRCRAFT

Mr. RANDOLPH. Mr. President, Allegheny Airlines is one of three local service air carriers which bring benefit to the citizens of West Virginia. Serving three major cities and areas of the Mountain State-Huntington, Parkersburg, and Wheeling—this progressive company Those moneys cominant provests for Release 2005/01/05 & GIA RDF166B00493R0602004 3000 picks, airmail, and imposed specifically for the purpose of Seven Clan religion of his ancestors, he be-passenger transportation capability on a daily scheduled basis. As a partner in